

# Measuring individual risk preferences

Incentivized measures are considered to be the gold standard in measuring individuals' risk preferences, but is that correct?

Keywords: risk aversion, risk preferences, incentivized measures, survey measures

## **ELEVATOR PITCH**

Risk aversion is an important factor in many settings, including individual decisions about investment or occupational choice, and government choices about policies affecting environmental, industrial, or health risks. Risk preferences are measured using surveys or incentivized games with real consequences. Reviewing the different approaches to measuring individual risk aversion shows that the best approach will depend on the question being asked and the study's target population. In particular, economists' gold standard of incentivized games may not be superior to surveys in all settings.

## **KEY FINDINGS**

### Pros

- Incentivized tasks are designed so that subjects have an incentive to truthfully report their preferences, while it is costless for subjects to misrepresent their preferences in surveys.
- Incentivized games can be structured to provide a precise measure of preferences that can be used to discriminate between different theories of decision making and to statistically estimate a subject's underlying value function (utility function).
- Survey measures lack a clear connection to theory, and therefore cannot be structured in the same way as incentivized games.



#### Cons

- Subjects may find incentivized games to be difficult or confusing, while surveys tend to be simpler to understand; this is particularly true for lesseducated subjects.
- Incentivized games are costly to administer in terms of time (instructions can be complex) and money (incentives must be provided).
- It is difficult to adapt incentivized games to represent different decision contexts, while surveys are easier to adapt.

## AUTHOR'S MAIN MESSAGE

In measuring risk aversion, it is important to consider carefully the purpose of the measure, the costs of alternative measures, and the abilities and experiences of the target respondents. Incentivized tasks provide a more precise measure, but are costly to implement and may be difficult for some subjects to comprehend. Survey measures are easier and less costly to implement and may be better for nonfinancial domains, but may not be sufficiently precise. Overall, the evidence does not support the general belief that incentivized tasks are superior in all (or most) cases, implying that surveys may warrant increased usage in certain contexts.