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[^0]
## 1 Introduction

A growing body of literature in economics and psychology has shown that personality traits are important predictors of a variety of socioeconomic outcomes (e.g. Almlund, Duckworth, Heckman \& Kautz, 2011; Borghans, Duckworth, Heckman \& Ter Weel, 2008, for overviews). The development of personality traits seems to be strongly influenced by the stability of the family environment children experience (e.g. Knudsen, Heckman, Cameron \& Shonkoff, 2006). Early life experiences, such as disruptions in family structure, could act as an impediment to a child's personality development.

This paper empirically analyses the effect of family disruptions on children's personality development. We focus on disruptions that involve the breakdown of the two-parent family into a family in which only one natural parent is left. We do so by documenting and interpreting personality development of the children included in the 1970 British Cohort Study (BCS). The BCS is a longitudinal survey including all children born in Britain in the week of 5-11 April 1970. The setup of our empirical analysis is divided into three steps. First, a set of descriptive analyses provides insight into the changes that children go through in terms of the mean-level development of personality traits when they are confronted with family disruption. We compare these developments with children who grow up in intact families. Second, we focus on heterogeneity in personality development across children by investigating to what extent the experience of family disruption explains intra-individual differences from early to late childhood. In particular, we analyse different types of family disruption, the age at which the child experiences the disruption, and differences in personality development between boys and girls. Finally, while life experiences are generally found to be correlated with personality changes, the endogenous nature of the occurrence of these experiences is generally ignored. We address this issue by running placebo tests and by dealing with sample selection in the BCS.

In this paper we measure personality by three traits: self-esteem, internal locus of control and behavioural problems. In psychology these measures are often used to measure personality development in children (Almlund et al., 2011) and economists have applied them in their research to measure personality development and behavioural problems in children (e.g. Currie \& Stabile, 2006). Our analyses show that children mature in terms of personality during childhood, but that this development is significantly affected by family disruptions. Between the ages of 10 and 16, children generally demonstrate positive personality development, as shown by increasing scores on self-esteem and internal locus of control, as well as decreasing scores on the Rutter index for behavioural problems. These favourable changes are significantly smaller for children who have experienced family disruptions. Family disruption has both a level and growth effect on personality development. Children who do not live with both natural parents throughout childhood not only rank lower in terms of personality traits at the age of 16 , but also show less growth between the ages of 10 and $16 .{ }^{1}$

[^1]While regression analyses demonstrate that family disruption is associated with a quarter of a standard deviation lower levels of favourable personality traits, the correlations drop when controls for the quality of the home environment are added to the regression models. Schooling and social class of parents are significantly related to the personality traits of their children. Yet, they do not affect the association between family disruption and personality development in a strong way. Variables related to closeness of the parent-child relationship mediate the relationship between family disruption and personality development. To adjust for these confounding factors, and the possible endogeneity of family composition, a more elaborate set of covariates - including birth conditions, social class, and family characteristics - is included in all analyses.

The reason for family disruption, the age at which this occurs, and the gender of the child matter for the size of the estimated coefficient. While children seem to recover from experiencing the death of a parent in terms of personality outcomes, children from divorced parents show significantly lower self-esteem and internal locus of control, while also scoring higher on the behavioural problems index. Further, the effects of family disruption seem to be less pronounced if the child was older at the time of the disruption. In terms of an overall effect of family disruption on personality development, there seem to be no overall differences between boys and girls. However, boys seem to suffer more from the death of a parent relative to girls, while the effect of experiencing divorce of parents is more severe for girls' personality development.

Family disruptions are to some extent endogenous. Families that break down are different from families that remain intact, even before the observed change. This is demonstrated by reductions in the estimated effect size, once additional controls for the quality of the home environment are added to the models. Key components are mother's age at birth of the child, parental education, family income, and parental care. We attempt to deal with possible endogeneity of our estimation results by presenting different sets of estimates for parental death and divorce, where we assume the former to be exogenous.

The analyses presented in this study contribute to the literature on the development of personality traits during childhood. The development of personality can be measured in various ways. Using meta-analytic techniques, Roberts, Walton \& Viechtbauer (2006) show the pattern of mean-level changes in terms of Big Five personality traits and observe that the largest changes occur early in life. Measuring personality development in terms of rank-order stability, by reporting correlations between personality scores across two points in time, reflects the degree to which the relative ordering of individuals is maintained. These changes in rank-order development are also largest early in life (Roberts \& DelVecchio, 2000). Theories on personality trait development attribute personality changes to a combination of environmental and genetic factors, as well as life experiences (see Roberts et al., 2006, for a discussion).

Empirical studies investigating the association between life experiences and personality change seem to be inconclusive. For working-age adults, Cobb-Clark \& Schurer (2012) show that meanlevel changes are small and intra-individual changes are generally unrelated to adverse employment,

[^2]health and family-related events. Specht, Schmukle \& Egloff (2011), however, conclude that such events do explain a significant part of the variation in personality traits. They also show that when events are clustered, as in Cobb-Clark \& Schurer (2012), effects of the environment may be overlooked or overgeneralized. Given that personality is more stable in adulthood, changes are likely to be small, and not economically meaningful (Cobb-Clark \& Schurer, 2012). Our work focuses on a single adverse event, namely family disruption in childhood, a period in which personality is still very much in development. With that focus, our work adds to two additional strands of literature: one that emphasizes the importance of the family environment in early childhood and a related literature on the effects of family disruption on children.

Knudsen et al. (2006) indeed conclude that being raised in disadvantaged environments is associated with diminished cognitive and social skills. Similarly, Almlund et al. (2011) argue that personality traits are responsive to a wide variety of influences, among which educational and parental investments. The technology of skill formation (Cunha, Heckman, Lochner \& Masterov, 2006) models the role the quality of the home environment plays in shaping skills in children. Investments in one period stimulate skills in that same period, but also in the periods thereafter. This literature highlights the importance of the early childhood environment, and explains the associations between disadvantaged early environments and personality development. We discuss our estimates with respect to the relevant economic literature in more detail in Section 6 .

The literature on the effects of family disruptions on child development shows correlations between these events and a range of outcomes. Amato (2001) and Amato \& Keith (1991) review this literature. The experience of family disruption implies an adverse shock in terms of parental investment, be it through the loss of income or a reduction in time spent with the child. The empirical results are mixed as to whether the effects of family disruption are the result of selection, i.e. a loss in parental investment, or whether the effect is causal. Addressing the endogeneity by adding various covariates generally reduces the strength of the correlations between family disruption and child outcomes. Some find that adverse effects of family disruptions remain (e.g. Antecol \& Bedard, 2007; Ermisch, Francesconi \& Pevalin, 2004; Ermisch \& Francesconi, 2001; Francesconi, Jenkins \& Siedler, 2010; Fronstin, Greenberg \& Robins, 2001), while others find correlations to be no longer statistically significant, especially when applying sibling-difference models (e.g. Björklund, Ginther \& Sundström, 2007; Björklund \& Sundström, 2006; Ginther \& Pollak, 2004). We address the endogeneity of our estimates and observe that it plays a role in the estimated effects. We attempt to deal with it by discriminating between different types of family disruptions that differ in their endogenous nature. We return to these issues in the literature when interpreting our results (see Section 6).

This paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 describes the dataset and shows how the relationship between personality development and family disruption is estimated. Section 3 presents the basic results. Section 4 reveals that the relationship between family disruptions and personality development varies across reasons for disruption, age at which this materializes, and gender. Section 5 addresses the potential problem of endogeneity of family disruption and the effects of sample selection. Section 6 concludes.

## 2 Data and approach

The data used for this study are taken from the 1970 British Cohort Study (BCS). The BCS is available from the Centre for Longitudinal Studies (Institute of Education, University of London). It began with a birth questionnaire, covering all children born between the 5th and 11th of April 1970 in England, Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland. Over 18,000 individuals were followed throughout life, with waves roughly five years apart. This research uses data from the first four waves - 1970, 1975, 1980 and 1986 - to investigate to what extent family disruption is related to the development of personality traits in childhood. Next to personality measures and family composition, we extract a set of covariates to capture early childhood circumstances related to family structure and personality traits.

### 2.1 Personality

Three measures are used to capture personality traits: self-esteem, internal locus of control, and a Rutter index for behavioural problems. They are all measured at ages 10 and 16 (1980 and 1986 waves). Self-esteem measures an individual's sense of self-worth, internal locus of control measures a child's perceived achievement control, and the Rutter index gives an indication of behavioural problems. These traits are related to educational, health, and labour-market outcomes (e.g. CobbClark \& Tan, 2011; Conti, Heckman \& Urzua, 2010; Feinstein, 2000; Flouri \& Buchanan, 2004; Murasko, 2007; Von Stumm, Gale, Batty \& Deary, 2009). In the main text we focus on the most salient aspects of our personality measures. Details about the sets of items used and the resulting constructs are shown in Appendix A. The correlation coefficients between the resulting measures for self-esteem, locus of control, and behavioural problems (Rutter) are reported in Table A.3.

Self-esteem and locus of control are measured by asking the children whether or not they agree with various statements. The scores on fifteen items from the CARALOC questionnaire, developed by Gammage (1975), are summed up to give a score for locus of control. Self-esteem is measured with the questionnaire developed by Lawrence (1981). The sum of the scores on twelve items is used to measure self-esteem. For self-esteem, a higher score indicates a higher level of self-esteem, while a higher score on the locus of control scale indicates a more internal locus of control. Cronbach's alphas, which measure the reliability of the constructs, are 0.70 and 0.71 for self-esteem and locus of control.

A third set of nineteen items, completed by the parents of the child, yields an index of behaviour difficulties (e.g. Rutter, Tizard \& Whitmore, 1970). This Rutter index is calculated by summing the scores on the nineteen items, with a higher score being indicative of more severe behaviour adjustment problems. The Rutter items are available at ages 5, 10 and 16. Unfortunately, those at age 10 are reported on a different scale, making a comparison between the raw age 10 and age 16 scores impossible. Once scores are standardized, as is done for the regression analyses, the Rutter score at age 10 can be compared to that at age 16 . Cronbach's alpha is equal to 0.80 for the Rutter index.

Table 1: Distribution of Raw Personality Scores

|  | obs. | mean | st.dev. | min. | max. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Raw personality scores |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Esteem Age 16 (SE16) | 4,439 | 17.81 | 4.24 | 0 | 24 |
| Locus of Control Age 16 (LoC16) | 5,479 | 21.78 | 5.05 | 2 | 30 |
| Rutter Score Age 16 (Rutter16) | 8,609 | 4.54 | 4.21 | 0 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Esteem Age 10 (SE10) | 12,624 | 16.39 | 4.54 | 0 | 24 |
| Locus of Control Age 10 (LoC10) | 12,560 | 17.85 | 4.75 | 0 | 30 |
| Rutter Score Age 5 (Rutter5) | 12,965 | 7.83 | 4.62 | 0 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (SE16)-(SE10) | 3,592 | 1.08 | 5.36 | -20 | 19 |
| (LoC16)-(LoC10) | 4,424 | 3.29 | 5.34 | -18 | 25 |
| (Rutter16)-(Rutter5) | 7,231 | -3.17 | 4.93 | -28 | 36 |

Note: Descriptive statistics for raw personality scores for full sample.

Table 1 provides information on the raw scores of all personality traits. The statistics suggest that between the ages of 10 and 16 , children improve in terms of self-esteem and internal locus of control. At the same time, children demonstrate less behavioural problems at the age of 16 than they do at age 5 , as shown by the lower average score on the Rutter index. This pattern of mean-level changes is consistent with the generally found positive development of personality during childhood. For example, Lewis, Ross \& Mirowsky (1999) show that the internal locus of control increases with age; Robins \& Trzesniewski (2005) demonstrate similar patterns for self-esteem development. ${ }^{2}$

### 2.2 Family disruption

The 1986 wave of the BCS contains information on which parent(s) the child lived with at all four waves up to that point. This covers family composition at birth, at age 5, 10 and 16 . The interviewed parent was asked to record how many natural parents the teenager had been living with, as well as indicate the reason for any changes between birth and age 5 , between ages 5 and 10 or between ages 10 and 16 . This information is available for 8,978 individuals, representing many different family compositions and many different patterns of change.

Since we are interested in the effects of losing a single biological parent, we discard individuals who experienced more than one change (going from living with both to living with a single biological parent and back again; or vice versa) and those who at some stage lived with neither natural parent. This leaves a sample of 8,641 individuals who either always lived with two natural parents or lost one natural parent at some point between birth and age 16. Of this group, 78 per cent $(n=6,771)$ lived with both natural parents from birth through age 16. The remaining 22 per cent $(n=1,870)$ experienced a breakdown of the two parent family structure before the age of 16 .

[^3]Table 2 compares these two groups of children, both in terms of personality traits, as well as on a set of birth and family characteristics. Children who experience family disruptions between birth and age 16 score significantly lower in terms of self-esteem and internal locus of control. This is both observed when measured at age 10 or at age 16 . They also score significantly higher on the Rutter index for behavioural problems at ages 5, 10, and 16.

Children from disrupted families also show less improvement in personality during childhood when compared to children from normal families. This is demonstrated by significantly lower increases in self-esteem and locus of control between ages 10 and 16, as well as significantly lower reductions in behaviour problems between ages 5 and 16 .

### 2.3 Family characteristics

Children from disrupted families score significantly different on a range of background characteristics. For example, Chen, Chen \& Liu (2009) find that deceased parents are more likely to be low-educated and have had their first child at a younger age. Similarly, Gould \& Simhon (2011) show that the education levels of both parents are lower in families that experience death of either one of the two parents. Background characteristics, which are indicators of the quality of the home environment, are also predictive of a range of other outcomes. For example, both Ermisch \& Francesconi (2001) and Ginther \& Pollak (2004) find that controlling for family income significantly reduces the association between family disruption and educational outcomes. Fronstin et al. (2001) demonstrate the same for labour-market performance.

Given their significant correlations with family structure as well as socioeconomic outcomes, it is important to include parental and family characteristics as covariates in our analyses. The set of covariates considered covers birth conditions, social class indicators, and early family characteristics. The covariates have been selected in such a way as to maximize the sample with complete information, while covering the most important characteristics that could be driving the correlation between personality and family disruption.

The controls used are consistent with those used in the literature on the effects of family disruption. Birth conditions are captured by mother's age at birth, and dummy indicators for having a low birth weight ( $<2,500$ grams), having been breastfed and whether or not the mother smoked during pregnancy. Years of schooling of both mother and father and whether or not the parents hold a skilled or professional job are used as indicators for social class (measured in 1970). Additionally, there are a number of family characteristics, measured in the 1975 and 1980 waves. The age- 5 measures include the number of older and younger siblings, and a dummy indicating whether or not the parents read to the child. Finally, family income and strength of family ties ${ }^{3}$ are measured in the 1980 wave.

Table 2 reports the averages among children who did and who did not experience family disruptions

[^4]Table 2: Descriptives by Experience of Family Disruption

|  | Experienced Family Disruption? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  |
| Raw personality scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Esteem Age 16 (SE16) | 17.92 | (4.12) | 2,596 | $17.35^{--}$ | (4.64) | 596 |
| Locus of Control Age 16 (LoC16) | 22.15 | (4.92) | 3,365 | $20.87^{--}$ | (5.38) | 737 |
| Rutter Score Age 16 (Rutter16) | 4.24 | (3.97) | 6,091 | $5.35{ }^{++}$ | (4.66) | 1,603 |
| Self-Esteem Age 10 (SE10) | 16.65 | (4.52) | 5,633 | $16.02^{--}$ | (4.50) | 1,489 |
| Locus of Control Age 10 (LoC10) | 18.24 | (4.76) | 5,603 | $17.42^{--}$ | (4.61) | 1,477 |
| Rutter Score Age 10 (Rutter10) | 425.45 | (206.61) | 6,055 | $466.31^{++}$ | (225.90) | 1,585 |
| Rutter Score Age 5 (Rutter5) | 7.59 | (4.43) | 5,827 | $8.38^{++}$ | (4.81) | 1,473 |
| (SE16)-(SE10) | 0.98 | (5.36) | 2,186 | 1.25 | (5.44) | 485 |
| (LoC16)-(LoC10) | 3.48 | (5.26) | 2,811 | $2.66^{--}$ | (5.41) | 588 |
| (Rutter16)-(Rutter5) | -3.29 | (4.72) | 5,274 | $-2.93{ }^{++}$ | (5.32) | 1,276 |
| Family Disruption <br> type of disruption (if disruption occurred after birth): | 0.00 |  | 6,771 | 1.00 |  | 1,870 |
| Death |  |  | 6,771 | 0.18 | (0.38) | 1,421 |
| Divorce |  |  | 6,771 | 0.64 | (0.48) | 1,421 |
| Separation |  |  | 6,771 | 0.18 | (0.39) | 1,421 |
| age at disruption: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At birth |  |  | 6,771 | 0.10 | (0.30) | 1,870 |
| Between 0 and 5 |  |  | 6,771 | 0.29 | (0.45) | 1,870 |
| Between 5 and 10 |  |  | 6,771 | 0.27 | (0.44) | 1,870 |
| Between 10 and 16 |  |  | 6,771 | 0.35 | (0.48) | 1,870 |
| Birth conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.49 | (0.50) | 6,771 | 0.49 | (0.50) | 1,870 |
| Mother's age at birth | 26.32 | (5.21) | 6,310 | $24.85{ }^{--}$ | (5.62) | 1,726 |
| Low birth weight ( $<2,500$ grams) | 0.06 | (0.24) | 6,340 | $0.07{ }^{+}$ | (0.26) | 1,732 |
| Breastfed dummy | 0.39 | (0.49) | 5,864 | $0.36{ }^{--}$ | (0.48) | 1,480 |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy | 0.37 | (0.48) | 6,321 | $0.49^{++}$ | (0.50) | 1,719 |
| Social class (measured at birth) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of schooling mother | 9.74 | (1.78) | 6,306 | $9.60^{--}$ | (1.61) | 1,724 |
| Years of schooling father | 10.02 | (2.37) | 6,196 | $9.73{ }^{--}$ | (1.99) | 1,606 |
| Parents skilled/professional | 0.8 | (0.40) | 6,338 | $0.72^{--}$ | (0.45) | 1,731 |
| Family characteristics (measured at age 5) \# of older siblings | 0.99 | (1.07) | 5,879 | $1.05^{+}$ | (1.22) | 1,491 |
| \# of younger siblings | 0.53 | (0.64) | 5,879 | 0.52 | (0.65) | 1,491 |
| Read to every day | 0.43 | (0.49) | 5,645 | $0.35{ }^{--}$ | (0.48) | 1,426 |
| Family characteristics (measured at age 10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross weekly family income | 3.16 | (1.16) | 5,657 | $2.51{ }^{--}$ | (1.31) | 1,525 |
| Strength of family ties | 2.5 | (0.30) | 6,152 | $2.42{ }^{--}$ | (0.33) | 1,619 |

Note: Descriptive statistics for main raw personality scores for full sample. Pluses and minuses indicate that the average of respondents who experienced family disruption differs from the average of respondents who lived with both natural parents throughout childhood. ${ }^{++}\left({ }^{+}\right)$indicates that the average of the sample with complete information is significantly larger at the $5 \%(10 \%)$ level, while ${ }^{--}\left(^{-}\right)$indicate that that average is significantly smaller at the $5 \%(10 \%)$ level.
by the age of 16 . Children from disrupted families have less favourable characteristics in all domains compared to children from families that remained intact. They are less likely to have been breastfed as babies and more likely to have had a low birth weight. The proportion of smoking mothers is also higher for this group; their parents have fewer years of education and are less likely to have read to their children on a daily basis. Family income and the strength of family ties are also significantly lower among children experiencing disruption. Given that these variables are correlated with family structure, and might also be associated with a child's personality development, they need to be taken into consideration when estimating the effects of family disruption on a child's personality development.

### 2.4 Empirical strategy

For the age- 16 personality traits self-esteem, locus of control and Rutter index, as well as changes in those personality traits between ages 10 and 16 , the following relationship is estimated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=\alpha+\beta D_{i}+\gamma \mathbf{X}_{i}+\epsilon_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each individual $i$, and for each outcome $Y_{i}$. The coefficient on the family disruption dummy $D_{i}$ measures how children from disrupted families differ in personality level and development from children who lived with both natural parents throughout childhood. Since it is likely that families that will eventually break down differ from those that remain intact, even before the breakdown, it is important to control for family characteristics that might be driving the correlation between loss of parent and personality development. This is captured by the vector $\mathbf{X}_{i}$, which includes a range of covariates.

We add covariates in turn to take into account the quality of the home environment a child is born into. Four sets of control variables (described in Section 2.3) are considered, which are cumulatively added to the model as measures of parental investment and quality of the home environment.

## 3 Estimation results

Personality levels at age 16 , as well as personality development between ages 10 and 16 , are considered as outcome measures. Since self-esteem, locus of control and the Rutter index are all measured on a different scale, the scores on the personality scales have been standardized to have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one to make the regression results comparable. The point estimates on parental loss can be interpreted in terms of standard deviation effects on age-16 personality. For personality development, the change in each of the three traits was calculated by subtracting the standardized age-10 score from the standardized age-16 score. The respondents score on average zero on changes between ages 10 and 16 .

Table 3: Differences in Personality from Family Disruption

|  | SE | LoC | Rutter | $\Delta \mathbf{S E}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{L o C}$ | $\Delta$ Rutter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disruption | $-.136^{* * *}$ | $-.255^{* * *}$ | $.268^{* * *}$ | .050 | $-.157^{* * *}$ | $.097^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(-3.00)$ | $(-6.29)$ | $(9.61)$ | $(0.82)$ | $(-3.16)$ | $(2.96)$ |
| Constant | .025 | $.046^{* * *}$ | $-.056^{* * *}$ | -.009 | .027 | -.020 |
|  | $(1.30)$ | $(2.67)$ | $(-4.39)$ | $(-0.35)$ | $(1.31)$ | $(-1.33)$ |
| $n$ | 3,192 | 4,102 | 7,694 | 2,671 | 3,399 | 6,867 |

Note: OLS regression coefficients with t-statistics in parentheses. Baseline model, with no further controls. Personality traits (first three columns) are measured at age 16. The change in these traits (last three columns) is measured by the difference between the measurements at ages 10 and 16 .

### 3.1 Basic estimates

Table 3 shows estimated coefficients without additional controls. Personality and personality change are explained by a dummy indicating whether or not the respondent experienced family disruption between birth and age 16. The constant in this table represents the average standardized personality (or personality change) for children who lived with both natural parents throughout childhood. These children score slightly above the overall average in terms of age-16 internal locus of control, as well as in increase in internal locus of control between ages 10 and 16. At the same time, they score below average in terms of the age- 16 Rutter index, while also experiencing larger decreases in behavioural problems between 10 and 16.

Investigation of the first three columns in Table 3 suggests that family disruption during childhood is associated with an eighth and a quarter of a standard deviation lower age-16 self-esteem and locus of control, respectively, and a quarter of a standard deviation higher age- 16 Rutter index. The last three columns of Table 3 show the correlation of family disruption and personality development between the ages 10 and 16. While the associations are smaller for personality changes than for levels, they are still quite substantial and statistically significant. Children who faced disruptions did not change differently in terms of self-esteem from those who lived with both parents throughout childhood. These children did, however, decline 0.16 standard deviations in terms of locus of control relative to those from intact families, while also increasing a tenth of a standard deviation in behaviour problems.

### 3.2 The effects of adding covariates

The association between family breakdown and personality could be the result of selection. One way to investigate whether the association between personality and family disruption is an exogenous shock is to control for other omitted variables that are correlated with both family structure and a child's personality development.

Table 4 reveals what happens to the point estimates of family disruption during childhood, once an increasing set of covariates is added. Four sets of covariates are cumulatively added to the model
as measures of parental investment and quality of the home environment. Including covariates correlated with marital instability reduces the point estimates of the effects of family disruption on age- 16 personality. With the most elaborate set of control variables the effect of family disruption on age-16 self-esteem is no longer statistically significantly different from zero. This suggests that the correlation between family disruption and self-esteem is the result of omitted variables. However, for locus of control and the Rutter index, the estimates remain significant and sizeable at -.16 and .17 standard deviations, respectively. These effect sizes are comparable to the mean effect sizes found in the review paper by Amato (2001). In an update of the meta-analysis of Amato \& Keith (1991), he finds that children experiencing divorce score about a fifth of a standard deviation lower in terms of measured conduct and psychological adjustment and 0.15 standard deviations lower in terms of social relations.

The covariates that seem to be predictive of personality traits across the board are parental education, parental care (proxied by reading to the five-year-old child on a daily basis) and the strength of family ties at age 10. Additionally, the number of siblings, and especially the number of younger siblings, has a detrimental effect on personality traits. Finally, there is also an important role for family income, which was also observed by Ginther \& Pollak (2004), who found that controlling for family income the effect of living in a single-parent family was often no longer significant.

The sets of covariates are added to mitigate the omitted variable bias in the point estimates for family disruption and cover various aspects of the home environment before the age of 10 . To the extent that the effects of family characteristics prior to age 10 are already reflected in age- 10 personality, the association between parental loss and personality change between ages 10 and 16 will be unaffected by the addition of the sets of controls. This is both reflected in the coefficients on the controls, which are largely insignificant, as well as on the dummy for family disruption when we investigate changes in personality between age 10 and 16 . Table 5 shows the estimated coefficients.

With regard to changes in self-esteem, locus of control, and the Rutter index, the expanding set of controls does not significantly change the point estimates of family disruption. Regarding selfesteem, the effect was not significantly different from zero to begin with in Table 4. Combined with the insignificant effect on age-16 self-esteem, the correlation between family disruption and self-esteem seems to be a reflection of omitted variables. However, the point estimates for changes in locus of control and the Rutter index remain sizeable and significant. This could be interpreted as evidence of a causal relationship between family disruption and locus of control and behaviour problems, but may still also be driven by other factors.

Selection plays a role in explaining the observed correlation between family disruption and personality development. The reduction of the point estimates suggests that family disruption is not fully exogenous. However, the estimated coefficients remain sizeable and significant, which provides support for the argument that the negative effects associated with family disruption are not merely reflecting a priori differences between families. To limit the effect of selection, the specification with the most elaborate set of covariates will be used for the remaining analyses on heterogeneity. The endogeneity of family disruption is investigated in more detail in Section 5.
Table 4: Effect of Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | -.136*** | -.173*** | -.164*** | -.146*** | -. 039 | -. 255 *** | -.226*** | -.220*** | -.196*** | -.161*** | .268*** | .244*** | .226*** | .208*** | .170*** |
|  | (-3.00) | (-3.32) | (-3.09) | (-2.67) | (-0.65) | (-6.29) | (-4.93) | (-4.76) | (-4.16) | (-3.09) | (9.61) | (7.72) | (6.97) | (6.26) | (4.62) |
| Male dummy |  | . 006 | . 000 | . 009 | . 025 |  | .059* | . 047 | . 055 | . 057 |  | -. 008 | -. 012 | -. 013 | -. 021 |
|  |  | (0.15) | (0.01) | (0.24) | (0.57) |  | (1.71) | (1.39) | (1.58) | (1.55) |  | (-0.33) | (-0.47) | (-0.51) | (-0.74) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | . 005 | . 005 | . 004 | . 003 |  | .009*** | .006* | .011*** | .012*** |  | -.011*** | -.011*** | -.008*** | -.010*** |
|  |  | (1.39) | (1.17) | (0.88) | (0.62) |  | (2.73) | (1.90) | (2.89) | (2.75) |  | (-4.60) | (-4.34) | (-2.74) | (-3.07) |
| Low birth weight |  | -.201** | -.196** | -.232*** | -.189** |  | -. 064 | -. 080 | -. 113 | -. 081 |  | .164*** | .164*** | .203*** | .214*** |
|  |  | (-2.38) | (-2.28) | (-2.66) | (-2.04) |  | (-0.88) | (-1.10) | (-1.54) | (-1.02) |  | (3.16) | (3.14) | (3.77) | (3.70) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | . 059 | . 016 | . 011 | . 015 |  | .173*** | .094*** | .080** | .077** |  | -.045* | -. 011 | -. 010 | -. 014 |
|  |  | (1.48) | (0.38) | (0.26) | (0.33) |  | (4.99) | (2.66) | (2.22) | (1.99) |  | (-1.76) | (-0.43) | (-0.36) | (-0.48) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | -.069* | -. 056 | -. 038 | -. 006 |  | -.142*** | -.097*** | -.068* | -.084** |  | . 150 *** | .131*** | .115*** | .089*** |
|  |  | (-1.65) | (-1.32) | (-0.87) | (-0.13) |  | (-3.96) | (-2.70) | (-1.84) | (-2.12) |  | (5.80) | (4.96) | (4.26) | (3.06) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | . 010 | . 002 | -. 014 |  |  | .058*** | .049*** | .038*** |  |  | -.022** | -.018* | -.018* |
|  |  |  | (0.73) | (0.18) | (-1.00) |  |  | (5.03) | (4.19) | (3.03) |  |  | (-2.38) | (-1.90) | (-1.79) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | .028*** | .027*** | . 015 |  |  | .036*** | .034*** | .025*** |  |  | -.018*** | -.017** | -.015* |
|  |  |  | (2.85) | (2.72) | (1.39) |  |  | (4.23) | (3.95) | (2.62) |  |  | (-2.64) | (-2.45) | (-1.94) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 080 | . 082 | . 072 |  |  | .185*** | .152*** | .122** |  |  | -.125*** | -.104*** | -.094*** |
|  |  |  | (1.50) | (1.50) | (1.22) |  |  | (4.06) | (3.26) | (2.43) |  |  | (-3.87) | (-3.12) | (-2.62) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -.049** | -. 039 |  |  |  | -.105*** | -.096*** |  |  |  | . 010 | . 003 |
|  |  |  |  | (-2.07) | (-1.52) |  |  |  | (-5.16) | (-4.37) |  |  |  | (0.70) | (0.22) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -.062* | -. 015 |  |  |  | -.086*** | -.080** |  |  |  | .091*** | .066*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-1.68) | (-0.37) |  |  |  | (-2.73) | (-2.35) |  |  |  | (4.06) | (2.70) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | .117*** | .079* |  |  |  | .125*** | .106*** |  |  |  | -.072*** | -. 033 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.79) | (1.74) |  |  |  | (3.51) | (2.75) |  |  |  | (-2.66) | (-1.12) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | .219*** |  |  |  |  | . 025 |  |  |  |  | -.259*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (2.84) |  |  |  |  | (0.38) |  |  |  |  | (-5.31) |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | .089*** |  |  |  |  | .084*** |  |  |  |  | -. 019 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (4.56) |  |  |  |  | (4.91) |  |  |  |  | (-1.43) |
| Constant | . 025 | -. 101 | -.515*** | -.413** | -1.065*** | .046*** | $-.241^{* * *}$ | -1.240*** | -1.171*** | -1.369*** | -.056*** | .190*** | .676*** | .523*** | 1.292*** |
|  | (1.30) | (-0.93) | (-3.46) | (-2.50) | (-4.01) | (2.67) | (-2.59) | (-9.55) | (-8.17) | (-6.08) | (-4.39) | (2.83) | (6.77) | (4.79) | (7.66) |
| $n$ | 3,192 | 2,625 | 2,554 | 2,473 | 2,150 | 4,102 | 3,408 | 3,314 | 3,206 | 2,768 | 7,694 | 6,356 | 6,171 | 5,929 | 5,088 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%, * *$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls (as reported in Table 3). Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table 5: Effect of Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16

| Dependent variable | $\triangle$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | . 050 | -. 042 | -. 044 | -. 028 | -. 044 | -.157*** | -.152*** | -.164*** | -.155*** | -.203*** | .097*** | .110*** | .118*** | .082** | .108*** |
|  | (0.82) | (-0.61) | (-0.62) | (-0.38) | (-0.55) | (-3.16) | (-2.71) | (-2.85) | (-2.64) | (-3.16) | (2.96) | (2.97) | (3.07) | (2.08) | (2.61) |
| Male dummy |  | -.213*** | -.216*** | -.211*** | -. $224 * * *$ |  | -. 006 | -. 015 | -. 009 | -. 009 |  | -.152*** | -.154*** | -.159*** | -.148*** |
|  |  | (-4.10) | (-4.12) | (-3.94) | (-3.94) |  | (-0.15) | (-0.35) | (-0.21) | (-0.20) |  | (-5.28) | (-5.24) | (-5.30) | (-4.78) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | -. 007 | -. 007 | -. 006 | -. 008 |  | -. 006 | -. 005 | . 001 | . 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (-1.46) | (-1.34) | (-1.01) | (-1.13) |  | (-1.40) | (-1.34) | (0.20) | (-0.02) |  | (0.98) | (0.72) | (-0.33) | (-1.11) |
| Low birth weight |  | -. 031 | -. 072 | -. 088 | -. 101 |  | .151* | .150* | . 115 | . 126 |  | .141** | .141** | .141** | .174*** |
|  |  | (-0.28) | (-0.62) | (-0.75) | (-0.82) |  | (1.70) | (1.66) | (1.25) | (1.31) |  | (2.34) | (2.28) | (2.22) | (2.65) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | -. 041 | -. 032 | -. 031 | -. 016 |  | . 018 | . 028 | . 020 | . 039 |  | -. 013 | -. 013 | -. 012 | -. 013 |
|  |  | (-0.78) | (-0.59) | (-0.55) | (-0.27) |  | (0.43) | (0.64) | (0.46) | (0.83) |  | (-0.44) | (-0.43) | (-0.36) | (-0.38) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | . 047 | . 032 | . 048 | . 062 |  | . 028 | . 019 | . 030 | . 026 |  | . 021 | . 023 | . 015 | . 016 |
|  |  | (0.86) | (0.57) | (0.84) | (1.01) |  | (0.64) | (0.42) | (0.66) | (0.53) |  | (0.69) | (0.73) | (0.46) | (0.48) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | -. 017 | -. 022 | -. 029 |  |  | -. 012 | -. 017 | -. 024 |  |  | . 003 | . 001 | -. 004 |
|  |  |  | (-0.98) | (-1.21) | (-1.50) |  |  | (-0.86) | (-1.17) | (-1.52) |  |  | (0.31) | (0.12) | (-0.32) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | -. 004 | -. 003 | -. 006 |  |  |  | -. 007 | -. 005 |  |  | . 007 | . 008 | . 009 |
|  |  |  | (-0.29) | (-0.24) | (-0.40) |  |  | $(-0.70)$ | $(-0.64)$ | (-0.43) |  |  | (0.87) | (1.01) | (1.05) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 012 | . 041 | . 075 |  |  | . 024 | . 025 | . 025 |  |  | -. 048 | -. 063 | -.067* |
|  |  |  | (0.16) | (0.56) | (0.97) |  |  | (0.43) | (0.43) | (0.40) |  |  | (-1.27) | (-1.60) | (-1.66) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -. 016 | -. 026 |  |  |  | -. 030 | -. 028 |  |  |  | . 017 | . 026 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.51) | (-0.79) |  |  |  | (-1.18) | (-1.05) |  |  |  | (1.03) | (1.50) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -. 013 | -. 007 |  |  |  | . 052 | . 035 |  |  |  | -. 010 | . 000 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.27) | (-0.13) |  |  |  | (1.35) | (0.83) |  |  |  | (-0.39) | (-0.02) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | . 051 | . 047 |  |  |  | -. 012 | -. 024 |  |  |  | . 042 | . 014 |
|  |  |  |  | (0.91) | (0.79) |  |  |  | (-0.27) | (-0.50) |  |  |  | (1.32) | (0.43) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | -. 076 |  |  |  |  | . 009 |  |  |  |  | .138** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.75) |  |  |  |  | (0.11) |  |  |  |  | (2.49) |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | . 002 |  |  |  |  | -. 010 |  |  |  |  | .024* |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.06) |  |  |  |  | (-0.48) |  |  |  |  | (1.67) |
| Constant | -. 009 | .294** | .488** | .474** | . 767 ** | . 027 | . 148 | . $325^{* *}$ | . 199 | . 310 | -. 020 | -. 029 | -. 074 | . 009 | -.331* |
|  | (-0.35) | (2.06) | (2.44) | (2.13) | (2.18) | (1.31) | (1.31) | (2.02) | (1.12) | (1.12) | (-1.33) | (-0.37) | (-0.64) | (0.07) | (-1.74) |
| $n$ | 2,671 | 2,244 | 2,187 | 2,118 | 1,893 | 3,399 | 2,882 | 2,806 | 2,712 | 2,404 | 6,867 | 5,816 | 5,651 | 5,433 | 5,028 |

## 4 Heterogeneity

In this section we investigate the effects of heterogeneity in the type disruption, the age at which the child is confronted with disruption and possible differences between boys and girls.

### 4.1 Type of disruption

For children experiencing family disruption after birth, the reason for family disruption is also known in most cases. It is the result of a separation of parents, divorce of parents or the death of one of two natural parents. Divorce was the reason for family disruption in two-thirds of these cases. The remaining third of cases are equally divided between a separation of parents and death of one of the parents.

Figure 1: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for types of family disruption (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Each specification includes three dummies for reason of loss of parent (death, divorce, or separation), as well as a full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10

Figure 1 presents the estimated coefficients for the three types of family disruption and the three personality traits. The models include al covariates, as in Model (5) in Tables 4 and 5. To save space, we turn to figures to show the effects of heterogeneity. The tables with all estimated coefficients are reported in Appendix B. To estimate the effects of the type of disruption, the family disruption dummy in equation (1) is replaced by three dummies: one for separation of parents, one for divorce of parents, and one for death of one of two natural parents. The point estimates of these three dummy indicators are depicted in Figure 1, along with their 90 per cent confidence intervals. The results in the left panel suggest that divorce is the strongest predictor of personality at age 16 . Children experiencing divorce of their natural parents score 0.15 standard deviations lower on age- 16 locus of control and 0.17 standard deviations higher on the Rutter index
for behavioural problems, compared to children from homes that remained intact. The effects of experiencing death of a parent or separation of parents are both economically and statistically insignificant. These findings are consistent with those from Corak (2001), whose difference-indifference estimates reveal that the associations between family disruption and income and own marital stability are greater in the case of divorce than in case of death of a parent. Regarding education, Francesconi et al. (2010) also find that it is divorce, and not parental loss through death, that leads to lower schooling attainment.

In terms of personality changes (right panel in Figure 1), the effects of the different reasons for family disruption are mostly insignificant. The figure presents the estimated coefficients including the full set of covariates. The only significant result is that children experiencing divorce of their parents, decline 0.2 standard deviations in terms of internal locus of control, compared to children from normal families. The point estimates of experiencing death of a parent or separation of parents are generally comparable in magnitude, yet not significantly different from zero. The lack of effects in terms of personality change could be due to the fact that the effects of family disruption have already manifested themselves by the age of 10 . It could also be the case that crucial variables are missing from the analysis, such as unobserved pre-existing health conditions of the parents, family stress or marital instability, which may have affected childhood personality prior to the actual change (e.g. Chen et al., 2009). Both arguments point to selection being the driving force behind the observed correlation between parental loss and personality development.

### 4.2 Age

The BCS also provides information on the timing of family disruption. To estimate the effects of age of the child at the time of disruption, the family disruption dummy in equation (1) is replaced by four age dummies, representing four groups: children born into a single-parent family ( 10 per cent), and children facing family disruption between birth and age 5 ( 28 per cent), between 5 and 10 ( 28 per cent) or between 10 and 16 ( 33 per cent). Figure 2 presents the estimated coefficients for the four age groups separately for both personality traits at age 16 and the change in personality between age 10 and 16 .

The pattern of effects for the different ages at which family disruption occurs differs between the three personality measures. For age- 16 self-esteem, being born into a single-parent home, as well as losing one of two natural parents from the household between ages 10 and 16 is associated with statistically significantly lower age-16 self-esteem, while a loss occurring between birth and age 5 , or between ages 5 and 10, does not lead to significantly different self-esteem scores, in comparison to children from normal families. For locus of control, however, experiencing disruption between age 5 and 10 seems to be detrimental, in addition to being born into a one-natural parent home. A change between 5 and 10 is again insignificant when it comes to predicting age-16 Rutter scores.

The relatively volatile patterns across personality measures documented in Figure 2 suggest that it is important to measure the timing of family disruption. Generally, the effects of family disruption seem to be less severe if the child was older at the time of the change. More specifically, disruption at

Figure 2: Point estimates for Age at Family Disruption on Personality


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for age at family disruption (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Each specification includes all four age at loss dummies, as well as a full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 .
birth is statistically significantly associated with negative effects on all three personality outcomes at age 16, as well as with development in these traits between 10 and 16 . Those born into single parent families show the largest difference with respect to children from families that remained intact. These individuals score over half a standard deviation lower on age-16 self-esteem and locus of control, and about a third of a standard deviation higher on the Rutter scale for behavioural problems. In addition, they experience declines of about 0.7 standard deviations in the distribution of self-esteem between 10 and 16 , while increasing with 0.4 standard deviations in the distribution of Rutter scores, compared to children from two-parent households. While Francesconi et al. (2010) find that the effects in early childhood are not significantly different from the effects in middle childhood, there is ample evidence in line with the patterns shown in Figure 2. The patterns are consistent with findings from Ermisch \& Francesconi (2001), Ermisch et al. (2004) and Antecol \& Bedard (2007), who obtain estimates suggesting that growing up in a single-parent family significantly affects young adults' education, inactivity, and drinking, smoking, and criminal behaviour; these effects are most disadvantageous in the case of early disruption. These findings are also consistent with the technology of skill formation, in which early parental investment not only determines early skills, but also later skills and personality, through the self-productivity of skills Cunha \& Heckman (2007).

### 4.3 Gender

There is a growing literature on the differences in the way boys and girls are affected by their environment. Gould, Lavy \& Paserman (2011) show that being exposed to a more modern and higher quality environment leads to better education and higher employment, and that these
effects are stronger for girls. Estimating the effect of parental education and occupation on child education, McIntosh \& Munk (2007) and Gould \& Simhon (2011) obtain estimates suggesting that boys and girls respond differently to their environments. When it comes to childhood intervention programs, there are some mixed results. The Abecedarian program boosted IQ, but primarily for girls (Cunha et al., 2006). Results at age 27 from participants in the Perry Preschool Program are also generally more favourable for girls, yet this pattern reverses when outcomes at age 40 are considered. This section contributes to this discussion by looking at gender differences in the effects of parental loss.

Figure 3: Point estimates for Family Disruption on Personality, by Gender


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for family disruption (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Separate regressions for girls and boys, each including a dummy indicating the child lost a parent between birth and age 16 , as well as a full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 .

Figure 3 shows a set of estimated coefficients for both boys and girls. The estimated models contain the full vector of covariates, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and 10 . While there seem to be slight differences between boys and girls in the point estimates for personality level and personality change, the estimates are not consistently larger for either boys or girls. Boys seem to suffer more from family disruption in terms of age- 16 self-esteem, yet the point estimates for both boys and girls are not significantly different from zero. The estimated coefficients for age-16 Rutter scores suggest that girls suffer more from family disruption than boys do. The estimated impact of family disruption on personality development between 10 and 16 suggests that family disruption impacts the development of locus of control of boys more than it does for girls.

Figure 4 shows estimated coefficients by gender in which we discriminate between the reasons for family disruption. The results suggest that boys are on average more negatively affected by parental death than girls, and there are also indications that girls suffer more from a separation or divorce of her parents, which is in line with the results obtained by Corak (2001).

Figure 4: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality, by Gender


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for types of family disruption (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Separate regressions for girls and boys, each including three dummies for reason of loss of parent (death, divorce, or separation), as well as a full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 .

Regression analysis on the full sample with an additional interaction term for family disruption and gender confirms that the association between family disruption and age-16 Rutter scores is significantly larger for girls than for boys. There are no significant gender differences in the estimates of family disruption on age-16 self-esteem or locus of control, or any of the personality development measures. Models with interaction terms between reasons for family disruption and gender confirm that boys are statically significantly more affected by parental death than girls. This seems to be the case for the effect of parental death on age-16 self-esteem and Rutter scores and for the change in Rutter scores between 10 and 16. Divorce and separation only seem to affect age-16 Rutter scores.

## 5 Endogeneity and sample selection

The results suggest that experiencing family disruption is significantly related to personality development in children. However, recent studies have cast doubt on the causality of the demonstrated correlations. Adding controls reduces the size of the association between family structure and outcomes, even to the point of insignificance (see e.g. Francesconi et al., 2010; Ginther \& Pollak, 2004). Results from sibling-difference models also provide evidence against a causal interpretation,
finding that the correlations between family structure and child outcomes are not significant (see e.g. Björklund et al., 2007; Björklund \& Sundström, 2006). While the results demonstrated in Table 4 show a reduction of the effect size when additional controls are added to the model, a significant relationship between family disruption and personality development remains. If family disruption is simply capturing the effect of omitted variables, or if the effect is driven by selection in the regression sample, there is no support for a causal interpretation of the uncovered correlations. This section first investigates the endogeneity of family structure with the use of placebo regressions. Second, the role of sample selection is discussed.

### 5.1 Placebo effects

If family disruption can be identified as an exogenous shock, any association between family disruption and personality traits arrives after the change in family structure. Under the assumption of a causal relationship between family disruption and personality outcomes and change, family disruption after age 10 should not be significantly related to personality measured at age 10 .

Our strategy is to estimate models including those children who lived with both natural parents until at least the age of 10 . This yields estimates of the effect of family disruption on personality traits and development between the age of 10 and 16. Now, a statistically significant effect of family disruption between 10 and 16 on personality at age 10 would indicate that family disruption is likely to be endogenous.

Figure 5: Placebo Effects: Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 16/10


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for family disruption between ages 10 and 16 (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10. The sample here only includes children who lived with both natural parents throughout childhood (reference group) and those who lost one natural parent between ages 10 and 16.

Figure 5 presents the estimates. Children who experience family disruption between 10 and 16 ,
score statistically significantly lower in terms of self-esteem and locus of control and significantly higher on the Rutter scale for behaviour problems, when measured at age 16 (left panel). Expanding the set of covariates reduces the correlation between family disruption and age-16 personality, which mitigates the omitted variable bias. For locus of control, the effect is no longer statistically significant.

To test whether family disruption is endogenous, personality traits at age 10 are regressed on family disruption after age 10. The right panel in Figure 5 suggests that these correlations are much smaller than those with age-16 personality. Inclusion of the full vector of covariates yields a zero point estimate for self-esteem. The estimated coefficient for age-10 locus of control is half of what it is for age-16 locus of control, and not statistically significantly different from zero. These results support the assumption that family disruption is exogenous and that the estimated coefficients for self-esteem and locus of control could be interpreted as causal. For Rutter scores, this relationship does not seem to hold. The point estimates are equal in magnitude, whether Rutter scores are measured at age 10 or 16 , which suggests that family disruption is endogenous in the sense that behavioural problems do not become worse due to family disruption.

Figure 6: Placebo Effects: Types of Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 16/10


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for types of family disruption between ages 10 and 16 (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis). Full set of controls, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 . The sample here only includes children who lived with both natural parents throughout childhood (reference group) and those who lost one natural parent between ages 10 and 16 .

We next discriminate between the reasons for family disruption. Figure 6 shows the estimated coefficients applied to the restricted sample of children who lived with both natural parents until at least the age of 10 . The estimated models contain the full vector of covariates, including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and 10. Experiencing parental death between the ages of 10 and 16 is not statistically significantly related to age- 16 personality
(left panel). The association with age-10 personality (right panel) is also mostly insignificant, and even turns positive in case of self-esteem and locus of control. These results support the notion that death of a parent is an exogenous event. Divorce and separation, however, seem to be endogenous. For divorce, the point estimates on age-16 personality traits average at . 23 standard deviations. For Rutter scores, the associations with age-10 and age-16 scores are similar in magnitude and significance (at .17 standard deviations), while the point estimates for age- 10 self-esteem and locus of control reduce significantly and are no longer significantly different from zero. These results suggest that especially divorce is an endogenous event.

### 5.2 Sample selection

The analyses in this paper have been based on a sample from the BCS. To the extent that this selection is not random, the estimates could be biased. Table 6 provides averages for all variables for the total sample and averages for the samples that remain after several rounds of selection. At the same time, each column shows whether the average within the selected sample is significantly different from the average of individuals with incomplete information in that selection round. This gives an idea of the extent of selection and possibly also the direction of bias due to non-response or attrition.

The first round of selection involves selecting respondents with valid information on family disruption, as obtained from the 1986 parental questionnaire (Disruption). Second, controlling for birth conditions, social class, and family characteristics at age 5 and 10 reduces the sample available for analyses even further (Controls). Finally, information is needed on age-16 personality traits (P16), and for the analyses on personality development, also on age-10 personality traits (P10).

Information on family structure during childhood is required. This information is only available for 46 per cent of the total sample ( 8,641 out of 18,724 ). Within this sample (Loss), the share of children experiencing family disruption is 22 per cent. Benson (2010) points out that it is often cited that one in four children in the UK live with one natural parent at any one time. A less well known statistic is the proportion of children who will live with one natural parent before age 16 . Benson (2010) uses data from cohorts born in the 1980s to demonstrate that among these cohorts, this statistic is as high as 40 per cent, and estimates that by 2009, one half of all children will experience family disruption by the age of 16 . Andersson (2002) provides a similar statistic for 15 other European countries and the United States. The average among those countries is 27 per cent.

Compared to these statistics, the share of 22 per cent obtained from parental reports from the 1986 wave of the British Cohort Study is low. The cohorts reported on by Benson (2010) are younger than the BCS70 cohort, and the United Kingdom was not among the countries reported on by Andersson (2002), which makes a direct comparison impossible. A statistic that can be more easily verified is the percentage of births outside marriage. Historical statistics for England and Wales show that this percentage was 8.3 per cent for 1970 (Office for National Statistics, 2010). This share in the BCS is comparable at 7.4 per cent, when using information reported

Table 6: Means for Selective Samples

| Selection | No selection | (1) | $(1,2)$ | $(1,2,3)$ | $(1,2,3,4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline sample | Full sample | Full | (1) | $(1+2)$ | $(1+2+3)$ |
| max. obs. | 18,724 | 8,641 | 5,614 | 2,044 | 1,672 |
| Sample name | Full sample | Disruption | Controls | P16 | P10 |
| Standardized personality scores |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Esteem Age 16 (SE16) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | $0.02^{+}$ |
| Locus of Control Age 16 (LoC16) | 0.00 | $0.03{ }^{++}$ | $0.06{ }^{++}$ | 0.06 | $0.10^{++}$ |
| Rutter Score Age 16 (Rutter16) | 0.00 | $-0.02^{--}$ | $-0.05^{--}$ | $-0.12^{--}$ | $-0.14^{--}$ |
| Self-Esteem Age 10 (SE10) | 0.00 | $0.03{ }^{++}$ | 0.03 | $0.10^{++}$ | 0.11 |
| Locus of Control Age 10 (LoC10) | 0.00 | $0.05^{++}$ | 0.06 | $0.24{ }^{++}$ | 0.24 |
| Rutter Score Age 10 (Rutter10) | 0.00 | $-0.04^{--}$ | $-0.05^{-}$ | $-0.16^{--}$ | $-0.18^{--}$ |
| (SE16)-(SE10) | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.01 |
| (LoC16)-(LoC10) | 0.00 | 0.01 | $0.03^{+}$ | $-0.01^{--}$ | -0.01 |
| (Rutter16)-(Rutter5) | 0.00 | -0.01 | $-0.03^{--}$ | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Family Disruption | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.19 ${ }^{--}$ | $0.16^{--}$ | 0.15 |
| Birth conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.52 | 0.49 ${ }^{--}$ | 0.49 | $0.43{ }^{--}$ | 0.42- |
| Mother's age at birth | 25.97 | 26.00 | 25.97 | $26.33^{++}$ | 26.36 |
| Low birth weight ( $<2,500$ grams) | 0.08 | $0.06^{--}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Breastfed dummy | 0.37 | $0.39^{++}$ | 0.39 | $0.42{ }^{++}$ | 0.42 |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy | 0.41 | 0.40-- | 0.39 | $0.34{ }^{--}$ | 0.35 |
| Social class (measured at birth) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of schooling mother | 9.66 | $9.71^{++}$ | 9.73 | $10.00^{++}$ | 10.01 |
| Years of schooling father | 9.92 | $9.96{ }^{+}$ | 9.97 | $10.31^{++}$ | 10.34 |
| Parents skilled/professional | 0.76 | $0.78{ }^{++}$ | $0.79^{++}$ | $0.83{ }^{++}$ | 0.83 |
| Family characteristics (measured at age 5) \# of older siblings | 1.06 | $1.00^{--}$ | $0.97{ }^{--}$ | $0.86{ }^{--}$ | 0.87 |
| \# of younger siblings | 0.52 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.51 |
| Read to every day | 0.39 | $0.41^{++}$ | $0.42^{+}$ | $0.48{ }^{++}$ | 0.48 |
| Family characteristics (measured at age 10) Gross weekly family income | 3.01 | 3.02 | $3.06^{++}$ | $3.20^{++}$ | $3.18{ }^{-}$ |
| Strength of family ties | 2.47 | $2.48{ }^{++}$ | $2.50^{++}$ | $2.52^{++}$ | 2.52 |

Note: Means for main variables for full sample, as well as for various selective samples (last four columns). Selection refers to selecting respondents with valid/complete information on (1) family disruption dummy, (2) all controls under birth conditions, social class, and family characteristics at ages 5 and 10, (3) age-16 self-esteem, locus of control, and Rutter score, and (4) age-10 self-esteem, locus of control, and Rutter score. Pluses and minuses in the last four columns indicate that the average of respondents in the selective sample is significantly different from the average of respondents within the baseline sample, but with incomplete information on the selection variables. ${ }^{++}\left({ }^{+}\right)$indicates that the average of the sample with complete information is significantly larger at the $5 \%(10 \%)$ level, while ${ }^{--}\left(^{-}\right)$indicate that that average is significantly smaller at the $5 \%(10 \%)$ level.
by all mothers present in the 1970 wave. Among respondents with valid information from the 1986 wave on family structure, this share is only 4.4 per cent, indicating that unmarried mothers are underrepresented in the regression samples. This is consistent with the lower observed share of children experiencing family disruption ( 22 per cent). That selection on family disruption is non-random, is also confirmed by the second column in Table 6.

The under-sampling of instable families becomes more important after the second round of selection. Of the respondents with data on family disruption, only 65 per cent have complete data on the full set of controls ( 5,614 out of 8,641 ). Only 19 per cent of these respondents experience family disruption before age 16; compared to 27 per cent for the 35 per cent of respondents with incomplete information on covariates. Further restricting the sample to those with valid information on self-esteem, locus of control, and Rutter scores, reduces the share of children experiencing family disruption to 16 per cent when age-16 personality information is required (sample P16), and to 15 per cent when, additionally, information on age-10 personality is required (sample P10).

Attrition and sample selection are not only related to the share of respondents experiencing family disruption, but also to personality traits, as well as birth conditions and early childhood family characteristics. Respondents still in the sample after selection on family disruption information (sample Loss) rank significantly higher in the distribution of self-esteem and locus of control, and lower in the distribution of Rutter scores. The differences with the full sample are further exacerbated once selection on the set of control variables is applied (sample Controls). The same pattern is observed for childhood characteristics, with the average home environment becoming more favourable after each selection round. While this does not hold for all control variables, average parental education and social class, and parental care increase with each selection round.

Given that sample selection is non-random, the effects of family disruption estimated in this study could be biased. The share of children in the regression sample experiencing the event of interest is likely to be lower than that same share in the total UK population. If the children within the sample do not differ systematically on unobservables that codetermine personality, the point estimates obtained from the regression sample have been estimated with less precision, but are not necessarily biased. There are, however, indications that those leaving the sample are more likely to be from the families at risk. If the effects of family disruption are more likely to be present at the bottom of the family quality distribution, our estimates provide a lower bound for the true effect of family disruption. Given that most of the selection in the sample lies with the crucial variable on family disruption, it is impossible to correct for selection on this variable.

The addition of an increasing set of covariates to the model has revealed a pattern of reductions in the estimated effect sizes of family disruption, providing support to the argument that the correlation between family disruption and personality is caused by selection, rather than causation. However, adding more controls also reduces the sample available for analysis, and the observed pattern might simply be the result of selecting "better" families, for which the effect might be smaller to begin with (even without controls). This can be tested by re-estimating the model for the five sets of covariates for the restricted sample of respondents with valid information on the most complete vector of covariates (i.e., the sample Controls in Table 6). Figure 7 reports these
results and reveals the same pattern as shown in Section 3 (Tables 4 and 5). Overall, the reduction in effect size resulting from additional covariates does not seem to be the result of differences in the composition of the samples.

Figure 7: Point estimates of Family Disruption on Personality, Restricted Sample


Note: OLS regression coefficients and $90 \%$ confidence intervals for family disruption (horizontal axis), separately for each personality trait (vertical axis), and for different sets of controls. Only respondents with complete information on the full set of controls - including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 - were considered in the analyses.

## 6 Conclusion, interpretation and future research

This research contributes to the discussion on the development of personality traits during childhood. First, using a variety of personality traits, measured at ages 10 and 16 , the descriptive statistics indicate that children go through a significant positive development as they age. Selfesteem and internal locus of control rise, while behavioural problems decline between ages 10 and 16. Second, the regression analyses suggest that this positive development is negatively affected by a shock to the family environment. Finally, the correlations between family disruption and personality development are to some extent endogenous.

Family disruption is defined as having experienced a switch from living with both natural parents to living with only one natural parent before the age of 16 . In terms of self-esteem, internal locus of control and behavioural problems, children experiencing family disruption score significantly worse and show less favourable development than children who lived in an intact family throughout childhood. These associations hide more detailed patterns. The effects of family disruption on personality development are generally stronger when the reason is divorce, compared to the case of death of one of the parents. In line with results from Corak (2001), conditioning on gender, the pattern arises that boys suffer more from the death of a parent than girls do, while there are also indications that divorce of parents affects girls more than boys. The age at which the change
occurs also matters, with the effects of losing a parent generally being less severe when the child is older at the time of family breakdown.

Other dimensions that may influence the estimated effect of family disruption have not been discussed. In this study, families are split into two groups: those with two natural parents and those with only one natural parent. There are a number of different possible family compositions among families with only one natural parent. A child may live with its natural mother or its natural father, either with or without a step-father or step-mother or other parental figures. If an additional parent figure has a positive effect on child development, through for example additional parental investments, the correlations reported here are underestimates of the impact of family disruption. However, the role of an extra (non-natural) parent figure need not necessarily be positive. Beller \& Chung (1992) find mixed effects of the presence of a stepfather for various educational outcome measures, and these effects also depend on the gender of the child. Gennetian (2005) finds that relying on traditional classifications of family structure may lead to biased effect sizes. While the sample sizes in the BCS are too small to estimate the effects of these specific family compositions, Ginther \& Pollak (2004) find that the crucial distinction is between children who grow up in traditional nuclear families (i.e., living with both natural parents) and children who grow up in other family structures (i.e., single parent families or blended families).

Since family structure is endogenous, simple correlations of family disruption with personality development do not represent the causal effect of a change in the family structure. With the addition of family characteristics that are correlated with both family structure and children's outcomes, the point estimates of experiencing family breakdown are significantly reduced. Given that much of the associations remain significant after controlling for a range of family characteristics, one might argue in favour of a causal interpretation of the remaining correlations. However, placebo analyses reveal that the problem of endogeneity is not fully addressed with our set of control variables. Apparently, part of the association between personality and parental loss already manifests itself before the change in family structure actually occurred. This suggests that there are other factors that correlate with family dissolution as well as with childhood personality development. Given that the negative association between family dissolution and both personality level and personality change is partially the result of selection, future research is needed to uncover the reasons behind the fact that children from intact families experience a more positive development and why children from homes that eventually break down face a fall in the personality distribution. Some of the factors controlled for in this paper help contribute to this discussion, such as the mediating role of parental education and parental social class as well as number of siblings, and parental care.

These findings are in line with results found by Gould \& Simhon (2011), who argue in favour of a causal role of parental education in shaping children's schooling, which is dependent on the time spent with each parent. Corak (2001) shows that family income accounts for part of the association between family disruption and personality development. Cobb-Clark \& Ribar (2012) show that financial stress and unsatisfactory relationships with parents are related to youths' transitions from home and school. McCulloch \& Joshi (2002) demonstrate that low income has detrimental effects on the cognitive development of children, and that these effects may be mitigated by positive
parental behaviours. This study adds to the literature casting doubt on a causal interpretation of the correlation between family breakdown and child outcomes. Nevertheless, this study also shows that personality traits are in development in childhood and that the direction and strength of development depends on factors exogenous to the child. While personality improves with age, parental investment plays a mediating or stimulating role.
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## A Rutter, Self-esteem, and Locus of control

Three measures are used to capture personality traits: self-esteem, internal locus of control, and a Rutter index for behavioural problems. Self-esteem measures an individual's sense of self-worth, internal locus of control measures a child's perceived achievement control, and the Rutter index gives an indication of behavioural problems.

Self-esteem assesses respondents' self-esteem with reference to teachers, peers and parents and consists of 12 items, while locus of control measures children's perceived achievement control with a list of 15 items. Items are scaled 0 "yes" 1 "don't know" 2 "no", except the first self-esteem item "Parent like to hear about ideas" and the locus of control item "Believe in planning ahead", which are reversely coded. Higher scores thus indicate higher self-esteem and higher internal locus of control. Table A. 1 shows the inter-item correlations or covariances for these sets of items, and the Cronbach's alpha statistic for the scale formed from them, both for ages 10 and 16. The self-esteem and locus of control scores are constructed by summing the scores on the set of $12 / 15$ items, and then standardizing the resulting score to have mean zero and standard deviation one.

At ages 5,10 , and 16 , the respondents' parents, usually the mother, are asked to indicate to what extent a certain description applies to the respondent. At ages 5 and 16 , the items are scaled 0 "does not apply" 1 "applies somewhat" 2 "certainly applies". The age- 10 items are scaled 1 through 100, where 1 indicates "does not apply" and 100 indicates "certainly applies". Higher scores indicate more severe behavioural problems. Table A. 2 shows the inter-item correlations or covariances for the 19 items, and the Cronbach's alpha statistic for the scale formed from them. The three Rutter scores, at ages 5, 10, and 16, are constructed by summing the scores on the 19 mother-rated items, and then standardizing the resulting score to have mean zero and standard deviation one. The correlation coefficients between the resulting measures for self-esteem, locus of control, and behavioural problems (Rutter) are reported in Table A.3.

For each set of of items, we apply multiple imputation through chained equations to impute missing values, using the remaining items as predictors for the item of interest. By doing so, we increase the number of observations with valid personality measures. For each item $i$ within each set of Rutter/self-esteem/locus of control items, we estimate the following model to predict missing values:

$$
\text { Item }_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} \beta_{j} \text { Item }_{j}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

This process starts with the item with least amount of missing observations. Missing observations are then imputed, and the process continues to the item with next fewest missing observations. For the age-10 Rutter score we apply the above model using linear regression, since the items are scaled from 1 through 100. Imputed values below 1 are set to 1 , and those above 100 are set to 100. For the age- 5 and age- 16 Rutter scores, and the age- 10 and age- 16 self-esteem and locus of control scores, we use multinomial logit regression to impute missing values.

Table A.1: Inter-item Correlations and Covariances of Self-esteem and Locus of Control Items

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { self- } \\ 60 \mathrm{f} \\ \text { rol) } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | ,624 and of $\frac{\underset{\sim}{2}}{2}$ |  |  |  | ,439 <br> and <br> of $\frac{\pi}{\pi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-esteem |  |  | . 10 | . 69 |  |  | . 09 | . 70 |
| 1. Parents like to hear about ideas | . 26 | . 12 | . 11 | . 69 | . 38 | . 23 | . 09 | . 70 |
| 2. Feel lonely at school | . 47 | . 35 | . 10 | . 67 | . 52 | . 42 | . 09 | . 68 |
| 3. Others fall out with you | . 59 | . 42 | . 09 | . 65 | . 49 | . 37 | . 09 | . 68 |
| 4. Others say nasty things about you | . 55 | . 40 | . 09 | . 65 | . 57 | . 43 | . 08 | . 67 |
| 5. Feel shy in front of teachers | . 45 | . 27 | . 10 | . 68 | . 59 | . 42 | . 08 | . 67 |
| 6. Feel sad because nobody to play/talk with | . 55 | . 40 | . 09 | . 65 | . 48 | . 32 | . 09 | . 69 |
| 7. Like to change lots of things about self | . 49 | . 31 | . 10 | . 67 | . 48 | . 30 | . 09 | . 69 |
| 8. Feel foolish talking to peers | . 47 | . 31 | . 10 | . 67 | . 52 | . 32 | . 08 | . 69 |
| 9. Feel foolish talking to teacher | . 44 | . 31 | . 10 | . 67 | . 58 | . 44 | . 08 | . 67 |
| 10. Often have to find new friends | . 56 | . 39 | . 09 | . 66 | . 53 | . 33 | . 09 | . 69 |
| 11. Feel foolish talking to parents | . 28 | . 20 | . 11 | . 68 | . 33 | . 23 | . 10 | . 70 |
| 12. Others think you tell lies | . 52 | . 39 | . 10 | . 66 | . 43 | . 29 | . 09 | . 69 |
| Locus of control |  |  | . 06 | . 63 |  |  | . 08 | . 71 |
| 1. Not worth trying hard | . 48 | . 35 | . 06 | . 60 | . 53 | . 42 | . 08 | . 68 |
| 2. Wishing makes good things happen | . 29 | . 12 | . 07 | . 63 | . 30 | . 15 | . 09 | . 71 |
| 3. People good to you no matter what | . 18 | . 02 | . 07 | . 65 | . 29 | . 14 | . 09 | . 71 |
| 4. Useless to try in school, others cleverer | . 50 | . 39 | . 06 | . 59 | . 52 | . 43 | . 08 | . 68 |
| 5. High mark just a matter of luck | . 57 | . 42 | . 05 | . 58 | . 61 | . 50 | . 07 | . 67 |
| 6. Tests just a lot of guess work | . 47 | . 34 | . 06 | . 60 | . 58 | . 48 | . 07 | . 67 |
| 7. Blamed for things not your fault | . 43 | . 25 | . 06 | . 61 | . 45 | . 28 | . 08 | . 70 |
| 8. Believe in planning ahead | . 19 | . 01 | . 07 | . 65 | . 36 | . 20 | . 08 | . 71 |
| 9. Bad things someone else's fault | . 38 | . 22 | . 06 | . 62 | . 39 | . 25 | . 08 | . 70 |
| 10. Someone angry, impossible to make friend again | . 40 | . 23 | . 06 | . 62 | . 32 | . 19 | . 09 | . 71 |
| 11. Nice things only good luck | . 55 | . 40 | . 06 | . 59 | . 50 | . 38 | . 08 | . 68 |
| 12. Arguments other person's fault | . 26 | . 11 | . 07 | . 63 | . 29 | . 15 | . 09 | . 71 |
| 13. Surprised when teacher says done well | . 47 | . 32 | . 06 | . 60 | . 51 | . 36 | . 08 | . 69 |
| 14. Low marks even when study hard | . 54 | . 41 | . 06 | . 59 | . 59 | .47 | . 07 | . 67 |
| 15. Studying for tests is waste of time | . 32 | . 22 | . 07 | . 62 | . 48 | . 37 | . 08 | . 69 |

[^5]Table A.2: Inter-item Correlations and Covariances of Rutter Items

|  | Age 5 ( $\mathrm{n}=12,965$ ) |  |  |  | Age 10 ( $\mathrm{n}=13,337$ ) |  |  |  | Age $16(\mathrm{n}=8,609)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . 0. 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\pi}{2}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { i } \\ & 0 \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\underset{\sim}{2}}{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & \text { す } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { \# } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { d } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ |
| Rutter |  |  | . 04 | . 73 |  |  | 106.61 | . 80 |  |  | . 04 | . 79 |
| restless | . 54 | . 41 | . 04 | .71 | . 51 | . 39 | 102.64 | . 79 | . 49 | . 39 | . 04 | . 78 |
| squirmy/fidgety | . 54 | . 42 | . 04 | . 71 | . 56 | . 46 | 101.40 | . 78 | . 51 | . 43 | . 04 | . 78 |
| destroys belongings | . 49 | . 39 | . 04 | . 71 | . 51 | . 46 | 109.11 | . 79 | . 46 | . 41 | . 04 | . 78 |
| fights with others | . 49 | . 39 | . 04 | . 71 | . 53 | .45 | 106.26 | . 78 | . 53 | . 46 | . 04 | . 78 |
| not much liked by others | . 31 | . 25 | . 05 | . 72 | . 45 | . 38 | 109.51 | . 79 | . 42 | . 36 | . 04 | . 78 |
| worried | . 38 | . 26 | . 04 | . 72 | . 49 | . 38 | 103.85 | . 79 | . 52 | . 41 | . 04 | . 78 |
| solitary, does things on own | . 36 | . 22 | . 04 | . 73 | . 40 | . 28 | 108.06 | . 79 | . 46 | . 33 | . 04 | . 79 |
| irritable | . 57 | . 45 | . 04 | . 70 | . 59 | . 49 | 99.57 | . 78 | . 62 | . 52 | . 04 | . 77 |
| miserable, tearful, distressed | . 46 | . 37 | . 04 | . 71 | . 55 | . 48 | 106.11 | . 78 | . 56 | . 49 | . 04 | . 78 |
| takes things belonging to others | . 41 | . 32 | . 04 | . 72 | . 49 | . 43 | 109.76 | . 79 | . 50 | . 44 | . 04 | . 78 |
| has twitches/mannerisms | . 20 | . 15 | . 05 | . 73 | . 37 | . 30 | 112.55 | . 79 | . 28 | . 23 | . 04 | . 79 |
| sucks thumb or fingers | . 19 | . 02 | . 05 | . 75 | . 26 | . 14 | 113.98 | . 80 | . 25 | . 16 | . 04 | . 79 |
| bites nails or fingers | . 30 | . 15 | . 05 | . 73 | . 37 | . 23 | 108.68 | . 80 | . 40 | . 25 | . 04 | . 80 |
| disobedient | . 58 | . 49 | . 04 | . 70 | . 60 | . 52 | 101.15 | . 78 | . 63 | . 55 | . 04 | . 77 |
| cannot settle | . 54 | . 44 | . 04 | . 71 | . 56 | . 47 | 102.44 | . 78 | . 59 | . 51 | . 04 | . 77 |
| afraid of new things/situations | . 33 | . 20 | . 04 | . 73 | . 42 | . 31 | 107.56 | . 79 | . 46 | . 35 | . 04 | . 78 |
| fussy or over-particular | . 36 | . 22 | . 04 | . 73 | . 40 | . 28 | 108.43 | . 79 | . 42 | . 29 | . 04 | . 79 |
| tells lies | . 48 | . 39 | . 04 | . 71 | . 56 | . 49 | 105.29 | . 78 | . 57 | . 50 | . 04 | . 78 |
| bullies others | . 45 | . 37 | . 04 | . 72 | . 52 | . 47 | 109.20 | . 79 | . 48 | . 42 | . 04 | . 78 |

Note: Sample includes all respondents with valid information on the individual items, as well as imputed values for respondents with 1 or 2 missing values in the set of 19 rutter items. Values are imputed using multiple imputation through chained equations using the remaining items as regressors. Age 5 and 16 items are scaled 0 "does not apply" 1 "applies somewhat" 2 "certainly applies". Age 10 items are scaled 1 through 100, where 1 indicates "does not apply" and 100 indicates "certainly applies". Higher scores thus indicate more severe behavioral problems.

Table A.3: Correlation coefficients between Personality Measures

|  | SE | LoC | Rutter | $\Delta$ SE | $\Delta$ LoC | $\Delta$ Rutter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self-Esteem Age 16 (SE16) | . | .40 | -.23 | .58 | .13 | -.10 |
| Locus of Control Age 16 (LoC16) | .40 | . | -.21 | .14 | .59 | -.07 |
| Rutter Score Age 16 (Rutter16) | -.23 | -.21 | . | -.04 | -.04 | .53 |
| (SE16)-(SE10) | .58 | .14 | -.04 | . | .25 | -.07 |
| (LoC16)-(LoC10) | .13 | .59 | -.04 | .25 | . | -.05 |
| (Rutter16)-(Rutter10) | -.10 | -.07 | .53 | -.07 | -.05 | . |
| Self-Esteem Age 10 (SE10) | .25 | .22 | -.16 | -.64 | -.19 | -.03 |
| Locus of Control Age 10 (LoC10) | .29 | .40 | -.18 | -.13 | -.51 | . |
| Rutter Score Age 10 (Rutter10) | -.13 | -.13 | .40 | .05 | . | -.05 |

[^6]
## B Supporting Tables

This section provides the full regression tables which were used to create the figures in this paper.

- Figure 1 (Types of Family Disruption): Tables B. 1 and B. 2
- Figure 2 (Age at Family Disruption): Tables B. 3 and B. 4
- Figure 3 (Family Disruption, by Gender): Tables B. 5 and B. 6
- Figure 4 (Types of Family Disruption, by Gender): Tables B. 7 and B. 8
- Figure 5 (Placebo Effects: Family Disruption): Tables B. 9 and B. 10
- Figure 6 (Placebo Effects: Types of Family Disruption): Tables B. 11 and B. 12
- Figure 7 (Family Disruption, Restricted Sample): Tables B. 13 and B. 14
Table B.1: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16 (Figure 1)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline-.075 \\ (-0.72) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.099 \\ \hline(-0.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ \hline(-0.48) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.051 \\ \hline(-0.41) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .090 \\ \hline(-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline-.129 \\ (-1.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.082 \\ (-0.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.050 \\ (-0.44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .108 \\ (1.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .129^{*} \\ & (1.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .117 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .052 \\ (0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ \hline(0.18) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Divorce | $\begin{gathered} -.164^{* *} \\ (-2.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.216^{* * *} \\ (-2.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.210^{* * *} \\ (-2.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -163^{* *} \\ (-2.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.051 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} -.300 * * * \\ (-5.35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.233^{* * *} \\ (-3.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.243^{* * *} \\ (-3.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.214^{* * * *} \\ (-3.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.153^{* *} \\ (-2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .271^{* * *} \\ (7.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(5.47)}{.233^{* * *}} \underset{\substack{ \\\hline}}{ }$ | $\underset{(5.13)}{220^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .206^{* * *} \\ (4.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .167^{* * *} \\ (3.45) \end{gathered}$ |
| Separation | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .109 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .121 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .124 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .229^{*} \\ & (1.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.197^{* *} \\ (-1.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.124 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.144 \\ (-1.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.122 \\ (-1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.091 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(3.00)}{.}$ | $\begin{gathered} .125 \\ (1.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .094 \\ (1.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .087 \\ (1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (0.84) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .041 \\ (0.94) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0669^{*} \\ & (1.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .050 \\ (1.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (1.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .061 \\ (1.63) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (1.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0083^{* *} \\ (2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0052 \\ (1.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(2.54)}{.0103^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0108^{* *} \\ (2.46) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{(-4.75)}{-.0117^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0111^{* * *} \\ (-4.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0073^{* *} \\ (-2.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0081^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.183^{* *} \\ (-2.10) \end{gathered}$ | $-(-2.02)$ | $-(-2.40)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.171^{*} \\ & (-1.80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.046 \\ (-0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.063 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.095 \\ (-1.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.070 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .174^{* * *} \\ (3.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .172^{* * *} \\ (3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .213^{* * *} \\ (3.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225^{* * *} \\ (3.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{aligned} & .045 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .005 \\ & (0.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .005 \\ & (0.11) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .171^{* * *} \\ (4.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0819^{* *} \\ (2.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0688^{*} \\ & (1.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0713^{*} \\ & (1.82) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0486^{*} \\ (-1.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-0.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0773^{*} \\ (-1.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-1.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.25) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.154^{* * *} \\ (-4.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.102^{* * *} \\ (-2.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0715^{*} \\ (-1.91) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0955^{* *} \\ (-2.38) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .157^{* * *} \\ (5.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .140^{* * *} \\ (5.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .121^{* * *} \\ (4.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0889^{* * *} \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0604^{* * *} \\ (5.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0525^{* * *} \\ (4.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0399^{* * *} \\ (3.10) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0204^{* *} \\ (-2.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0168^{*} \\ (-1.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0184^{*} \\ (-1.77) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0271^{* * *} \\ (2.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0258^{* * *} \\ (2.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (1.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0390^{* * *} \\ (4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0367^{* * *} \\ (4.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0276^{* * *} \\ (2.93) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0190^{* * *} \\ (-2.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0180^{* *} \\ (-2.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0166^{* *} \\ (-2.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .084 \\ (1.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .084 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (1.20) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .187^{* * *} \\ (4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .153^{* * *} \\ (3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .127^{* *} \\ & (2.47) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.107^{* * *} \\ (-3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0861^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0714^{*} \\ (-1.93) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\underset{(-2.01)}{-.0481 * *}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0440^{*} \\ (-1.71) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.111^{* * *} \\ (-5.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.104^{* * *} \\ (-4.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-1.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0952^{* * *} \\ (-2.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0869^{* *} \\ (-2.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 102^{* * *} \\ (4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0749 * * * \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.64)}{.113^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .075 \\ (1.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 117^{* * *} \\ & (3.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .100^{* *} \\ & (2.55) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0753^{* * *} \\ (-2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.042 \\ (-1.41) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.73)}{.215^{* * *}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .029 \\ (0.43) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(-5.75)}{-.288^{* * *}}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0817^{* * *} \\ (4.09) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0783^{* * *} \\ (4.48) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .017 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.106 \\ (-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{-.526^{* * *}}{(-3.46)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.442^{* * *} \\ (-2.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.052^{* * *} \\ (-3.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0344^{* *} \\ (2.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.236^{* *} \\ (-2.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.276^{* * *} \\ (-9.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.200^{* * *} \\ (-8.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-5.98)}{-1.376^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0386^{* * *} \\ (-3.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .226^{* * *} \\ (3.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .684^{* * *} \\ (6.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .501^{* * *} \\ (4.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.316^{* * *} \\ (7.62) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,055 | 2,529 | 2,477 | 2,400 | 2,092 | 3,931 | 3,281 | 3,210 | 3,108 | 2,693 | 7,319 | 6,084 | 5,946 | 5,719 | 4,915 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.2: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16 (Figure 1)

| Dependent variable | $\triangle$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\triangle$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | $\begin{gathered} .106 \\ (0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .092 \\ (0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .111 \\ (0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .080 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .097 \\ (0.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-.223^{*} \\ & (-1.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.245^{*} \\ & (-1.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.248^{*} \\ & (-1.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.199 \\ (-1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.133 \\ (-0.85) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .127 \\ (1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ (1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .125 \\ (1.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .071 \\ \hline(0.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .055 \\ (0.57) \end{gathered}$ |
| Divorce | $\begin{gathered} .092 \\ (1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.034 \\ (-0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-0.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.142^{* *} \\ (-2.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.147^{*} \\ & (-1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.148^{*} \\ & (-1.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.137^{*} \\ & (-1.74) \end{aligned}$ | $-(-2.28)$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0789^{*} \\ & (1.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .066 \\ (1.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .062 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .033 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .055 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Separation | $\begin{aligned} & .148 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .187 \\ & (1.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .155 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .161 \\ (0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .200 \\ & (1.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.270^{* *} \\ (-2.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.144 \\ (-1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.138 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.138 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.203 \\ (-1.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.096 \\ (-1.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.062 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.063 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.099 \\ (-1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.200 * * * \\ (-3.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{.201 * * *}{(-3.76)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.195^{* * *} \\ (-3.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{.200 * * *}{(-3.47)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.002 \\ (-0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.001 \\ (-0.01) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.150^{* * *} \\ (-5.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{.150 * * *}{(-5.05)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.156^{* * *} \\ (-5.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.146^{* * *} \\ (-4.62) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-1.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.86) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .000 \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.040 \\ (-0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.067 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .166^{*} \\ & (1.82) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .171^{*} \\ & (1.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .135 \\ (1.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .150 \\ (1.51) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .126^{* *} \\ & (2.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .130^{* *} \\ & (2.06) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .128^{* *} \\ & (1.96) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .159^{* *} \\ & (2.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.054 \\ (-1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-0.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-0.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.35) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .020 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .019 \\ (0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .046 \\ (0.95) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-0.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} .039 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .030 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .049 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .023 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .016 \\ (0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .029 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .022 \\ (0.45) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .023 \\ (0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.025 \\ (-1.28) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-1.41) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.46) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.57) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .007 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (1.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (1.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .007 \\ (0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .035 \\ (0.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .071 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .023 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .030 \\ (0.47) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.038 \\ (-0.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.054 \\ (-1.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.059 \\ (-1.42) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ (-1.15) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.031 \\ (-1.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.033 \\ (-1.18) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (1.41) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.19) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .025 \\ & (0.60) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (0.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .057 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (0.78) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .028 \\ (0.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.110 \\ (-1.06) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .006 \\ (0.08) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .116^{* *} \\ & (2.06) \end{aligned}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.13) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.55) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.13)}{.0318^{* *}}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .285^{*} \\ (1.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .455^{* *} \\ & (2.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .407^{*} \\ & (1.80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .811^{* *} \\ & (2.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (1.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .113 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .274^{*} \\ & (1.67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .158 \\ (0.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .305 \\ (1.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.073 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.301 \\ (-1.55) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 2,557 | 2,160 | 2,118 | 2,052 | 1,837 | 3,263 | 2,778 | 2,720 | 2,631 | 2,336 | 6,551 | 5,584 | 5,459 | 5,253 | 4,859 | Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection. The change in each of the personality traits is measured by the difference between the measurements at ages 10 and 16 .

Table B.3: Point estimates for Age at Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16 (Figure 2)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Age at loss dummies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At birth | $\begin{gathered} -.401^{* * *} \\ (-2.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.39)}{-.391^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.490^{* *} \\ (-2.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.576^{* *} \\ (-2.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\left(-.540^{* *}\right.}{-23)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.442^{* * *} \\ (-3.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.440^{* * *} \\ (-3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.508^{* * *} \\ (-2.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.515 * * * \\ (-2.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.538^{* * *} \\ (-2.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .418^{* * *} \\ (5.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .438^{* * *} \\ (4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .466^{* * *} \\ (3.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .495^{* * *} \\ (3.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .337^{* *} \\ & (2.47) \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 to 5 | $-.078$ | $-.108$ | $-.101$ | $-.125$ | $.014$ | $-.182^{* *}$ | $-.151^{*}$ | $-.160^{*}$ | $-160^{*}$ | $-.128$ | $.365^{* * *}$ | $.375^{* * *}$ (6.59) | $.367^{* * *}$ $(6.36)$ | $.375^{* * *}$ | $.357^{* * *}$ |
| 5 to 10 | ${ }_{-.063}$ | -.086 | -. 090 | ${ }_{-}^{(-074}$ | (128 | -.275*** | -.265*** | -.265*** | -. $248^{* * *}$ |  | .189*** | .128** | 110** |  | (5.55) .051 |
| 10 to 16 | (-0.81) | (-0.97) | (-1.01) | (-0.83) | (1.31) | (-3.79) | (-3.24) | (-3.24) | (-3.02) | (-2.38) | (3.92) | (2.38) | (2.02) | (1.68) | (0.83) |
|  | -.174** | -. 237 *** | -. $2225^{* * *}$ | -.167** | -.153* | -. $244 * * *$ | -.195*** | -. $186^{* * *}$ | -.135* | -. 096 | .210*** | .198*** | .184*** | .144*** | .109* |
| Male dummy | (-2.44) | (-2.97) | (-2.80) | (-2.01) | (-1.67) | (-3.90) | (-2.83) | (-2.72) | (-1.92) | (-1.25) | (4.72) | (4.05) | (3.72) | (2.84) | (1.95) |
|  |  | . 006 | . 001 | . 010 | . 023 |  | .0602* | . 049 | . 056 | . 059 |  | -. 006 | -. 010 | -. 010 | -. 017 |
|  |  | (0.16) | (0.03) | (0.24) | (0.54) |  | (1.75) | (1.44) | (1.63) | (1.59) |  | (-0.22) | (-0.38) | (-0.40) | (-0.63) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | . 005 | . 005 | . 004 | . 003 |  | .0089*** | .0064* | .0115*** | .0118*** |  | -.0107*** | -.0104*** | -.0077*** | -.0093*** |
|  |  | (1.37) | (1.20) | (0.88) | (0.60) |  | (2.70) | (1.94) | (2.93) | (2.76) |  | (-4.50) | (-4.28) | (-2.66) | (-2.94) |
| Low birth weight |  | -.197** | -.188** | -.219** | -.175* |  | -. 062 | -. 076 | -. 108 | -. 077 |  | .161*** | .161*** | .198*** | .209*** |
|  |  | (-2.33) | (-2.17) | (-2.51) | (-1.89) |  | (-0.85) | (-1.04) | (-1.47) | (-0.98) |  | (3.11) | (3.07) | (3.68) | (3.62) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | . 058 | . 015 | . 009 | . 014 |  | .173*** | .0936*** | .0796** | .0768** |  | -. 042 | -. 009 | -. 008 | -. 012 |
|  |  | (1.45) | (0.37) | (0.23) | (0.30) |  | (4.98) | (2.66) | (2.22) | (1.99) |  | (-1.64) | (-0.36) | (-0.28) | (-0.41) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | -. 067 | -. 054 | -. 034 | -. 001 |  | -.143*** | -.0972*** | -.0667* | -.0825** |  | .148*** | .129*** | .113*** | .0874*** |
|  |  | (-1.62) | (-1.26) | (-0.79) | (-0.02) |  | (-3.96) | (-2.69) | (-1.81) | (-2.09) |  | (5.72) | (4.89) | (4.17) | (3.01) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | . 010 | . 003 | -. 016 |  |  | .0581*** | .0492*** | .0396*** |  |  | -.0216** | -.0177* | -.0183* |
|  |  |  | (0.74) | (0.20) | (-1.07) |  |  | (5.06) | (4.22) | (3.12) |  |  | (-2.36) | (-1.89) | (-1.79) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | .0281*** | .0269*** | . 014 |  |  | .0361*** | .0341*** | .0250*** |  |  | -.0182*** | -.0171** | -.0152** |
|  |  |  | (2.86) | (2.73) | (1.37) |  |  | (4.24) | (3.96) | (2.68) |  |  | (-2.64) | (-2.46) | (-1.99) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 077 | . 079 | . 066 |  |  | .179*** | .146*** | .115** |  |  | -.123*** | -.101*** | -.0928*** |
|  |  |  | (1.44) | (1.44) | (1.12) |  |  | (3.92) | (3.12) | (2.30) |  |  | (-3.81) | (-3.01) | (-2.58) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -.0502** | -. 041 |  |  |  | -.106*** | -.0978*** |  |  |  | . 011 | . 004 |
|  |  |  |  | (-2.13) | (-1.62) |  |  |  | (-5.22) | (-4.43) |  |  |  | ${ }^{(0.76)}$ | (0.26) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -.0632* | -. 017 |  |  |  | -.0863*** | -.0820** |  |  |  | .0948*** | .0700*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-1.71) | (-0.42) |  |  |  | (-2.74) | (-2.40) |  |  |  | (4.22) | (2.86) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | .118*** | .0808* |  |  |  | .124*** | .106*** |  |  |  | -.0720*** | -.032 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.81) | (1.78) |  |  |  | (3.48) | (2.75) |  |  |  | (-2.67) | (-1.10) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | . $214 * * *$ |  |  |  |  | . 030 |  |  |  |  | -.264*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (2.76) |  |  |  |  | (0.45) |  |  |  |  | (-5.40) |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0930^{* * *} \\ (4.73) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0806 * * * \\ (4.68) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-1.26) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.099 \\ (-0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.520^{* * *} \\ (-3.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.413^{* *} \\ (-2.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.047^{* * *} \\ (-3.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0458^{* * *} \\ (2.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.239^{* *} \\ (-2.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.243^{* * *} \\ (-9.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.174^{* * *} \\ (-8.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.379^{* * *} \\ (-6.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0559^{* * *} \\ (-4.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .182^{* * *} \\ (2.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .667^{* * *} \\ (6.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .510^{* * *} \\ (4.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.281^{* * *} \\ (7.61) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,192 | 2,625 | 2,554 | 2,473 | 2,150 | 4,102 | 3,408 | 3,314 | 3,206 | 2,768 | 7,694 | 6,356 | 6,171 | 5,929 | 5,088 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.4: Point estimates for Age at Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16 (Figure 2)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\triangle$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Age at loss dummies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At birth | $\begin{gathered} -.187 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.419^{*} \\ & (-1.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.644^{* *} \\ (-2.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.693^{* *} \\ (-2.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.736^{* *} \\ (-2.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.069 \\ (-0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.119 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.292 \\ (-1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.282 \\ (-1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.388 \\ (-1.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .103 \\ (1.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .230^{* *} \\ & (1.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(2.67)}{.400^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .362^{* *} \\ & (2.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .416^{* * *} \\ (2.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| 0 to 5 | $\begin{aligned} & .242^{* *} \\ & (2.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .202 \\ (1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .193 \\ (1.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .182 \\ (1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .198 \\ (1.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-0.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.105 \\ (-0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 168^{* * *} \\ (2.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .191^{* * *} \\ (2.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186^{* * *} \\ (2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .178^{* *} \\ & (2.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208^{* * *} \\ (2.88) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 to 10 | $\begin{gathered} .153 \\ (1.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .106 \\ (0.91) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .105 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .119 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .102 \\ (0.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.291^{* * *} \\ (-3.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.274^{* * *} \\ (-2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.266^{* * *} \\ (-2.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.285^{* * *} \\ (-2.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.340^{* * *} \\ (-3.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .059 \\ & (1.05) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .054 \\ (0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .036 \\ (0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .069 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 to 16 | $\begin{gathered} -.130 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.235^{* *} \\ (-2.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.241^{* *} \\ (-2.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.206^{*} \\ & (-1.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.245^{* *} \\ (-1.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.169^{* *} \\ (-2.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.155^{*} \\ & (-1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.164^{*} \\ & (-1.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.132 \\ (-1.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.139 \\ (-1.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .070 \\ (1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .069 \\ (1.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .081 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (0.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\underset{(-4.08)}{ }$ | $\underset{(-4.07)}{-.214^{* * *}}$ | $\underset{(-3.93)}{-.210^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.222^{* * *} \\ (-3.90) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.14) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{(-5.20)}{-.149^{* * *}}$ | $\underset{(-5.17)}{-.151^{* * *}}$ | $\underset{(-5.22)}{-.157^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.146^{* * *} \\ (-4.71) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-1.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-1.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-1.10) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-1.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-1.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (0.04) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (1.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.001 \\ (-0.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-1.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ (-0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.060 \\ (-0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.073 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.085 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .150^{*} \\ & (1.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .150^{*} \\ & (1.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .115 \\ (1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .127 \\ (1.32) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .140^{* *} \\ & (2.32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .137^{* *} \\ & (2.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .136^{* *} \\ & (2.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .168^{* *} \\ & (2.56) \end{aligned}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.033 \\ (-0.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.032 \\ (-0.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.31) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .019 \\ (0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .028 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .020 \\ (0.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .038 \\ (0.81) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-0.34) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} .049 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .035 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .051 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .066 \\ (1.08) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .024 \\ (0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .016 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (0.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .024 \\ (0.50) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .019 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .013 \\ (0.41) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.022 \\ (-1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.031 \\ (-1.60) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.023 \\ (-1.44) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.39) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .007 \\ (0.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .036 \\ (0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .069 \\ (0.89) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .019 \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .020 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-1.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.064 \\ (-1.56) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.028 \\ (-0.85) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.031 \\ (-1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.029 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .017 \\ (1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (1.55) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.07) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .055 \\ (1.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .034 \\ (0.82) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.12) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .054 \\ (0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .050 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.025 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .042 \\ (1.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.088 \\ (-0.87) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.13) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .133^{* *} \\ & (2.42) \end{aligned}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.36) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0279^{*} \\ & (1.89) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .297^{* *} \\ & (2.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .492^{* *} \\ & (2.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .473^{* *} \\ & (2.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .797^{* *} \\ & (2.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .027 \\ (1.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .139 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .320^{* *} \\ & (1.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .187 \\ (1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .298 \\ (1.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.083 \\ (-0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.003 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.338^{*} \\ & (-1.78) \end{aligned}$ |
| $n$ | 2,671 | 2,244 | 2,187 | 2,118 | 1,893 | 3,399 | 2,882 | 2,806 | 2,712 | 2,404 | 6,867 | 5,816 | 5,651 | 5,433 | 5,028 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: ${ }^{* * *}$ at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection. The change in each of the personality traits is measured by the difference between the measurements at ages 10 and 16 .
Table B.5: Point estimates for Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16, by Gender (Figure 3)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | $-.0991^{*}$ | $-.104$ | $.083$ | -.091 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-.280^{* * *} \\ & (-556) \end{aligned}$ | $-.213 * * *$ | $-.221^{* * *}$ <br> (-3.67) | $-.217^{* * *}$ $(-3.55)$ | $-.176^{* * *}$ | $.278^{* * *}$ | $.277^{* * *}$ (6.23) | $.256^{* * *}$ $(5.61)$ | .263*** <br> (5.63) | $.233^{* * *}$ |
| (Loss of parent) x (Male) | $\begin{gathered} (-1.14) \\ -(-089 \\ (-1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.158 \\ (-1.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.189^{*} \\ & (-1.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.130 \\ (-1.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -141 \\ (-1.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (-0.060) \\ .0 .84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.030 \\ (-0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.07 \\ (0.033 \\ (0.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.052 \\ .0 .055 \\ (0.55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (-2.03) \\ .038 \\ (0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.20) \\ -.065 \\ (-1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.01) \\ -.061 \\ (-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.110^{*} \\ (-1.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.129^{*} \\ (-1.82) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .033 \\ (0.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .032 \\ (0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .031 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (0.99) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0635^{*} \\ & (1.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .052 \\ & (1.28) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .007 \\ (0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.10) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{aligned} & .005 \\ & (1.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.59) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0090^{* * *} \\ (2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0063^{*} \\ & (1.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0113^{* * *} \\ (2.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0118^{* * *} \\ (2.76) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0109 * * * \\ (-4.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0105^{* * *} \\ (-4.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0078^{* * *} \\ (-2.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0096^{* * *} \\ (-3.05) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.202^{* *} \\ (-2.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.198^{* *} \\ (-2.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.232^{* * *} \\ (-2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.190^{* *} \\ (-2.06) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.065 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.080 \\ (-1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.113 \\ (-1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.081 \\ (-1.03) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 163^{* * *} \\ (3.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .164^{* * *} \\ & (3.12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .202^{* * *} \\ (3.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .213^{* * *} \\ (3.68) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .057 \\ (1.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .010 \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .013 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .173^{* * *} \\ (4.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0935^{* * *} \\ (2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0800^{* *} \\ & (2.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0772^{* *} \\ (2.00) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0455^{*} \\ (-1.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0690^{*} \\ (-1.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.056 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.038 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.15) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.142^{* * *} \\ (-3.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0974 * * * \\ & (-2.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0678^{*} \\ (-1.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0835^{* *} \\ (-2.12) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .150^{* * *} \\ & (5.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .131^{* * *} \\ (4.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .115^{* * *} \\ & (4.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0885^{* * *} \\ (3.05) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-1.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0578^{* * *} \\ (5.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0489^{* * *} \\ (4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0384^{* * *} \\ (3.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0218^{* *} \\ (-2.38) \end{gathered}$ | $-. .0177^{*}-(-1.89)$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0181^{*} \\ (-1.77) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0279 * * * \\ (2.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0268^{* * *} \\ (2.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0360^{* * *} \\ (4.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0340 * * * \\ (3.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0245^{* * *} \\ (2.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0183^{* * *} \\ (-2.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0173^{* *} \\ (-2.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0150^{*} \\ (-1.96) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .080 \\ (1.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .082 \\ (1.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .071 \\ (1.21) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 185^{* * *} \\ (4.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .152^{* * *} \\ (3.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .121^{* *} \\ & (2.42) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{(-3.85)}{-.125^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.104^{* * *} \\ (-3.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0933^{* * *} \\ (-2.59) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0488^{* *} \\ (-2.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.038 \\ (-1.49) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.105 * * * \\ (-5.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0964^{* * *} \\ (-4.37) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .010 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.24) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0627^{*} \\ (-1.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.38) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0858^{* * *} \\ (-2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0802^{* *} \\ (-2.35) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0915^{* * *} \\ (4.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .06655^{* * *} \\ (2.72) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.81)}{.118^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0803^{*} \\ & (1.77) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .125^{* * *} \\ (3.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106 * * * \\ & (2.75) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0715^{* * *} \\ (-2.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.032 \\ (-1.10) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 218^{* * *} \\ (2.82) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.259^{* * *} \\ (-5.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0885^{* * *} \\ (4.53) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0839^{* * *} \\ (4.91) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-1.47) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.112 \\ (-1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.527^{* * *} \\ (-3.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.420^{* *} \\ (-2.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.066^{* * *} \\ (-4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0458^{* * *} \\ (2.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.243^{* * *} \\ (-2.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.240^{* * *} \\ (-9.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-8.14)}{-1.167^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.368^{* * *} \\ (-6.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0559^{* * *} \\ (-4.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .182^{* * *} \\ (2.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(6.69)}{.670^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .511^{* * *} \\ (4.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.278^{* * *} \\ (7.57) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,192 | 2,625 | 2,554 | 2,473 | 2,150 | 4,102 | 3,408 | 3,314 | 3,206 | 2,768 | 7,694 | 6,356 | 6,171 | 5,929 | 5,088 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: ${ }^{* * *}$ at $1 \%$, ** at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.6: Point estimates for Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16, by Gender (Figure 3)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | . 104 | -. 078 | -. 064 | -. 063 | -. 041 | -. 119 * | -. 115 | -. 116 | -. 111 | -.149* | .185*** | .127** | .133** | .106* | .134** |
|  | (1.34) | (-0.85) | (-0.69) | (-0.66) | (-0.40) | (-1.93) | (-1.58) | (-1.56) | (-1.47) | (-1.84) | (4.26) | (2.43) | (2.47) | (1.91) | (2.35) |
| (Loss of parent) $x$ (Male $)$ | -. 128 | . 084 | . 047 | . 084 | -. 007 | -. 096 | -. 093 | -. 120 | -. 110 | -. 139 | -. $181 * * *$ | -. 033 | -. 032 | -. 048 | -. 053 |
|  | (-1.13) | (0.60) | (0.33) | (0.57) | (-0.04) | (-1.04) | (-0.82) | (-1.04) | (-0.93) | (-1.09) | (-3.09) | (-0.45) | (-0.42) | (-0.61) | (-0.66) |
| Male dummy |  | -. 227 *** | -. 224 *** | -. $224^{* * *}$ | -. 223 *** |  | . 009 | . 004 | . 008 | . 012 |  | -. $145^{* * *}$ | -.148*** | -. 150 *** | -. $139^{* * *}$ |
|  |  | (-3.99) | (-3.90) | (-3.84) | (-3.60) |  | (0.19) | (0.08) | (0.17) | (0.23) |  | (-4.57) | (-4.57) | (-4.54) | (-4.04) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | -. 007 | -. 007 | -. 006 | -. 008 |  | -. 006 | -. 005 | . 001 | . 000 |  | . 003 | . 002 | -. 001 | -. 004 |
|  |  | (-1.47) | (-1.34) | (-1.01) | (-1.13) |  | (-1.40) | (-1.34) | (0.21) | (-0.03) |  | (1.00) | (0.73) | (-0.32) | $(-1.10)$ |
| Low birth weight |  | -. 031 | -. 072 | -. 088 | -. 101 |  | .151* | .150* | . 115 | . 127 |  | .141** | .140** | .141** | .173*** |
|  |  | (-0.27) | (-0.62) | (-0.75) | (-0.82) |  | (1.70) | (1.66) | (1.26) | (1.32) |  | (2.33) | (2.28) | (2.21) | (2.64) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | -. 039 | -. 031 | -. 030 | -. 016 |  | . 017 | . 026 | . 019 | . 038 |  | -. 013 | -. 013 | -. 012 | -. 013 |
|  |  | (-0.75) | (-0.58) | (-0.52) | (-0.27) |  | (0.40) | (0.61) | (0.43) | (0.80) |  | (-0.44) | (-0.44) | (-0.37) | (-0.39) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | . 047 | . 032 | . 048 | . 062 |  | . 028 | . 019 | . 030 | . 026 |  | . 021 | . 023 | . 014 | . 016 |
|  |  | (0.86) | (0.57) | (0.84) | (1.01) |  | (0.64) | (0.43) | (0.66) | (0.53) |  | (0.69) | (0.73) | (0.46) | (0.48) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | -. 017 | -. 022 | -. 029 |  |  | -. 012 | -. 017 | -. 024 |  |  | . 003 | . 001 | -. 004 |
|  |  |  | (-0.98) | (-1.21) | (-1.50) |  |  | (-0.86) | (-1.16) | (-1.52) |  |  | (0.31) | (0.13) | (-0.31) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | -. 004 | -. 003 | -. 006 |  |  | -. 008 | -. 007 | -. 005 |  |  | . 007 | . 008 | . 009 |
|  |  |  | (-0.28) | (-0.23) | (-0.40) |  |  | (-0.72) | (-0.66) | (-0.44) |  |  | (0.86) | (1.00) | (1.04) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 012 | . 041 | . 075 |  |  | . 025 | . 026 | . 026 |  |  | -. 048 | -. 063 | -.0670* |
|  |  |  | (0.16) | (0.56) | (0.97) |  |  | (0.45) | (0.44) | (0.42) |  |  | (-1.26) | (-1.59) | (-1.65) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -. 016 | -. 026 |  |  |  | -. 030 | -. 028 |  |  |  | . 017 | . 026 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.51) | (-0.79) |  |  |  | (-1.18) | (-1.03) |  |  |  | (1.03) | (1.51) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -. 013 | -. 007 |  |  |  | . 053 | . 035 |  |  |  | -. 010 | . 000 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.26) | (-0.13) |  |  |  | (1.35) | (0.84) |  |  |  | (-0.38) | (-0.01) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | . 051 | . 048 |  |  |  | -. 012 | -. 024 |  |  |  | . 042 | . 015 |
|  |  |  |  | (0.90) | (0.79) |  |  |  | (-0.27) | (-0.50) |  |  |  | (1.32) | (0.44) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | -. 076 |  |  |  |  | . 008 |  |  |  |  | .138** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.75) |  |  |  |  | (0.11) |  |  |  |  | (2.50) |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | . 002 |  |  |  |  | -. 010 |  |  |  |  | .0241* |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.06) |  |  |  |  | (-0.50) |  |  |  |  | (1.65) |
| Constant$n$ | -. 009 | .300** | .491** | .478** | . 767 ** | . 027 | . 141 | . $318{ }^{* *}$ | . 192 | . 303 | -. 020 | -. 033 | -. 077 | . 004 | -.337* |
|  | (-0.35) | (2.09) | (2.45) | (2.15) | (2.18) | (1.31) | (1.25) | (1.97) | (1.08) | (1.10) | (-1.33) | (-0.42) | (-0.66) | (0.03) | (-1.77) |
|  | 2,671 | 2,244 | 2,187 | 2,118 | 1,893 | 3,399 | 2,882 | 2,806 | 2,712 | 2,404 | 6,867 | 5,816 | 5,651 | 5,433 | 5,028 | Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: ${ }^{* * *}$ at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection. The change in each of the personality traits is measured by the difference between the measurements at ages 10 and 16 .

Table B.7: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16, by Gender (Figure 4)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | $\begin{gathered} .118 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .157 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .185 \\ (1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .203 \\ (1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .274 \\ (1.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.049 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -109 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.092 \\ (-0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.088 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.038 \\ (-0.25) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.027 \\ (-0.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.100 \\ (-0.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.121 \\ (-1.03) \end{gathered}$ |
| Divorce | $\begin{aligned} & -.156^{*} \\ & (-1.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.173^{*} \\ & (-1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.167^{*} \\ (-1.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.181^{*} \\ & (-1.87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.083 \\ (-0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.359^{* * *} \\ (-5.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.243^{* * *} \\ (-3.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.254^{* * *} \\ (-3.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{-.250^{* * *}}{(-3.07)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.182^{* *} \\ (-2.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .297^{* * *} \\ & (5.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 292^{* * *} \\ (5.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .276^{* * *} \\ (4.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 294^{* * *} \\ (4.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .285^{* * *} \\ (4.33) \end{gathered}$ |
| Separation | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ (-0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .045 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .074 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .083 \\ (0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .141 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.263^{* *} \\ (-2.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.156 \\ (-1.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.145 \\ (-1.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.118 \\ (-0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.116 \\ (-0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .301^{* * *} \\ (3.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .225^{* *} \\ & (2.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .189^{* *} \\ & (2.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .199^{* *} \\ & (2.08) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .187^{*} \\ & (1.86) \end{aligned}$ |
| (Death) x (Male) | $\begin{gathered} -.556^{* * *} \\ (-2.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.586^{* *} \\ (-2.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.561^{* *} \\ (-2.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.598^{* *} \\ (-2.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.687^{* *} \\ (-2.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.119 \\ (-0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.046 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .024 \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .093 \\ (0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .089 \\ (0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .276^{* *} \\ & (2.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .298^{*} \\ & (1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .289^{*} \\ & (1.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .306^{*} \\ & (1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .288^{*} \\ (1.68) \end{gathered}$ |
| (Divorce) x (Male) | $\begin{gathered} -.042 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.143 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.137 \\ (-0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .037 \\ & (0.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .133 \\ (1.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .028 \\ (0.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .098 \\ (0.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .065 \\ (0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.098 \\ (-1.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.091 \\ (-1.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.162^{*} \\ & (-1.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.224^{* *} \\ (-2.30) \end{gathered}$ |
| (Separation)x(Male) | $\begin{gathered} .112 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .166 \\ (0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .133 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .113 \\ (0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .261 \\ (1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .177 \\ (1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .094 \\ (0.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .076 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -195 * * \\ (-2.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.203^{*} \\ & (-1.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.193^{*} \\ & (-1.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.229^{* *} \\ (-2.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.254^{* *} \\ (-2.17) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .033 \\ (0.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .032 \\ (0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .031 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .046 \\ (0.99) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0639^{*} \\ & (1.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .052 \\ (1.29) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.09) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.67) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0083^{* *} \\ (2.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0051 \\ (1.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0102^{* *} \\ (2.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0108^{* *} \\ (2.47) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0118^{* * *} \\ (-4.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0112^{* * *} \\ (-4.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0074^{* *} \\ (-2.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0082^{* *} \\ (-2.53) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -176^{* *} \\ (-2.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.173^{*} \\ (-1.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.209^{* *} \\ (-2.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.164^{*} \\ (-1.72) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.046 \\ (-0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.063 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.094 \\ (-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.071 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 171^{* * *} \\ (3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169^{* * *} \\ & (3.15) \end{aligned}$ | $.210^{* * *}$ | $\begin{gathered} .221^{* * *} \\ (3.73) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .043 \\ (1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{(4.84)}{.171^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0821^{* *} \\ (2.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0694^{*} \\ & (1.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0719^{*} \\ & (1.84) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0469^{*} \\ (-1.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-0.60) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0760^{*} \\ (-1.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.057 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-0.24) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.154^{* * *} \\ (-4.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.102^{* * *} \\ (-2.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0719^{*} \\ (-1.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0957^{* *} \\ (-2.39) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .157^{* * *} \\ (5.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .140^{* * *} \\ (5.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .121^{* * *} \\ (4.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0895^{* * *} \\ (3.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0604^{* * *} \\ (5.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0525^{* * *} \\ (4.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0398^{* * *} \\ (3.09) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0207^{* *} \\ (-2.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0169^{*} \\ (-1.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0185^{*} \\ (-1.78) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0266^{* * *} \\ (2.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0252^{* *} \\ (2.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (1.36) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0390^{* * *} \\ (4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0368^{* * *} \\ (4.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0276 * * * \\ (2.93) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0188^{* * *} \\ (-2.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0179^{* *} \\ (-2.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0166^{* *} \\ (-2.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .086 \\ (1.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .087 \\ & (1.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .076 \\ (1.26) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .187^{* * *} \\ (4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154^{* * *} \\ & (3.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .127^{* *} \\ & (2.47) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.107^{* * *} \\ (-3.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0863^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0716^{*} \\ (-1.94) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0487^{* *} \\ (-2.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0433^{*} \\ (-1.68) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.111^{* * *} \\ (-5.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.104^{* * *} \\ (-4.63) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .010 \\ (0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.10) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.059 \\ (-1.57) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{(-2.97)}{-.0955^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0868^{* *} \\ (-2.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .102 * * * \\ (4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0748^{* * * *} \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .115^{* * *} \\ (2.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0765^{*} \\ & (1.66) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .117^{* * *} \\ & (3.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .100^{* *} \\ & (2.55) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0757^{* * *} \\ (-2.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.043 \\ (-1.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .212^{* * *} \\ (2.69) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .029 \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.280^{* * *} \\ (-5.60) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0821^{* * *} \\ (4.12) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0782^{* * *} \\ (4.47) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.97) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .017 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.122 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.538^{* * *} \\ (-3.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.442^{* * *} \\ (-2.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.049^{* * *} \\ (-3.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0344^{* *} \\ (2.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\left(-236^{* *}\right.}{(-2.45)}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.275 * * * \\ (-9.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.195^{* * *} \\ (-8.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-5.97)}{-1.374 * * *}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0386^{* * *} \\ (-3.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .224^{* * *} \\ (3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .683^{* * *} \\ (6.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .495^{* * *} \\ (4.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.289^{* * *} \\ (7.46) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,055 | 2,529 | 2,477 | 2,400 | 2,092 | 3,931 | 3,281 | 3,210 | 3,108 | 2,693 | 7,319 | 6,084 | 5,946 | 5,719 | 4,915 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%$, ** at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.8: Point estimates for Types of Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16, by Gender (Figure 4)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | . 197 | . 163 | . 185 | . 173 | . 207 | -. 144 | -. 200 | -. 207 | -. 164 | -. 094 | . 106 | -. 042 | -. 042 | -. 095 | -. 100 |
|  | (1.13) | (0.78) | (0.87) | (0.80) | (0.91) | (-1.01) | (-1.14) | (-1.17) | (-0.91) | (-0.49) | (1.02) | (-0.33) | (-0.33) | (-0.73) | (-0.76) |
| Divorce | . 107 | -. 148 | -. 138 | -. 121 | -. 149 | -. 125 | -. 125 | -. 123 | -. 110 | -. 160 | .199*** | .121* | . 113 | . 099 | .133* |
|  | (0.97) | (-1.17) | (-1.09) | (-0.93) | (-1.07) | (-1.45) | (-1.27) | (-1.23) | (-1.09) | (-1.46) | (3.30) | (1.75) | (1.61) | (1.38) | (1.79) |
| Separation | . 173 | . 032 | . 026 | . 034 | . 105 | -. 252 * | -. 131 | -. 104 | -. 106 | -. 163 | . 046 | -. 015 | -. 023 | -. 062 | . 016 |
|  | (1.01) | (0.17) | (0.14) | (0.17) | (0.50) | (-1.75) | (-0.83) | (-0.64) | (-0.65) | (-0.95) | (0.48) | (-0.14) | (-0.21) | (-0.56) | (0.14) |
| (Death)x(Male) | -. 269 | -. 173 | -. 181 | -. 235 | -. 296 | -. 242 | -. 114 | -. 104 | -. 093 | -. 115 | . 050 | . 304 * | . 344 * | . 344 * | .331* |
|  | (-0.91) | (-0.53) | (-0.55) | (-0.69) | (-0.80) | (-0.98) | (-0.41) | (-0.37) | (-0.31) | (-0.35) | (0.31) | (1.68) | (1.87) | (1.83) | (1.73) |
| (Divorce) x (Male) | -. 031 | . 227 | . 215 | . 317 | . 309 | -. 041 | -. 057 | -. 055 | -. 059 | -. 078 | -. $2188^{* *}$ | -. 109 | -. 101 | -. 138 | -. 177 |
|  | (-0.18) | (1.12) | (1.06) | (1.53) | (1.41) | (-0.30) | (-0.36) | (-0.34) | (-0.36) | (-0.45) | (-2.51) | (-1.06) | (-0.97) | (-1.30) | (-1.62) |
| (Separation) x (Male) | -. 057 | . 380 | . 337 | . 326 | . 251 | -. 044 | -. 035 | -. 097 | -. 089 | -. 115 | $-.289^{* * *}$ | -. 096 | -. 085 | -. 078 | -. 042 |
|  | (-0.27) | (1.55) | (1.33) | (1.28) | (0.90) | (-0.24) | (-0.17) | (-0.44) | (-0.41) | (-0.49) | (-2.66) | (-0.78) | (-0.68) | (-0.60) | (-0.32) |
| Male dummy |  | $-.226^{* * *}$ | -. $224^{* * *}$ | -. 223 *** | -. $2244^{* * *}$ |  | . 008 | . 003 | . 007 | . 011 |  | -. $145^{* * *}$ | -. $148^{* * *}$ | -. 150 *** | -.139*** |
|  |  | (-3.97) | (-3.90) | (-3.82) | (-3.61) |  | (0.19) | (0.07) | (0.15) | (0.23) |  | (-4.56) | (-4.56) | (-4.55) | (-4.05) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | -. 007 | -. 006 | -. 004 | -. 006 |  | -. 004 | -. 004 | . 002 | . 000 |  | . 002 | . 002 | . 000 | -. 003 |
|  |  | (-1.36) | (-1.20) | (-0.66) | (-0.84) |  | (-1.09) | (-1.06) | (0.31) | (0.02) |  | (0.81) | (0.70) | (-0.05) | (-0.83) |
| Low birth weight |  | . 006 | -. 035 | -. 055 | -. 065 |  | .167* | . 172 * | . 136 | . 151 |  | . 123 ** | . $126{ }^{* *}$ | .124* | . $155{ }^{* *}$ |
|  |  | (0.06) | (-0.30) | (-0.45) | (-0.51) |  | (1.82) | (1.86) | (1.44) | (1.52) |  | (1.99) | (2.01) | (1.91) | (2.32) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | -. 054 | -. 044 | -. 045 | -. 022 |  | . 018 | . 026 | . 018 | . 045 |  | -. 016 | -. 016 | -. 014 | -. 015 |
|  |  | (-1.00) | (-0.80) | (-0.78) | (-0.36) |  | (0.43) | (0.59) | (0.40) | (0.93) |  | (-0.51) | (-0.51) | (-0.42) | (-0.45) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | . 039 | . 030 | . 049 | . 056 |  | . 023 | . 017 | . 030 | . 022 |  | . 021 | . 024 | . 012 | . 012 |
|  |  | (0.70) | (0.52) | (0.84) | (0.89) |  | (0.52) | (0.37) | (0.64) | (0.45) |  | (0.68) | (0.75) | (0.38) | (0.37) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | -. 012 | -. 018 | -. 025 |  |  | -. 010 | -. 013 | -. 023 |  |  | . 003 | . 001 | -. 006 |
|  |  |  | (-0.68) | (-0.96) | (-1.28) |  |  | (-0.69) | (-0.88) | (-1.41) |  |  | (0.26) | (0.08) | (-0.48) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | -. 007 | -. 007 | -. 008 |  |  | -. 008 | -. 008 | -. 006 |  |  | . 008 | . 009 | . 009 |
|  |  |  | (-0.56) | (-0.53) | (-0.58) |  |  | (-0.74) | (-0.76) | (-0.54) |  |  | (0.94) | (1.10) | (1.02) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 009 | . 038 | . 074 |  |  | . 023 | . 021 | . 031 |  |  | -. 038 | -. 054 | -. 060 |
|  |  |  | (0.12) | (0.51) | (0.93) |  |  | (0.40) | (0.35) | (0.48) |  |  | (-0.97) | (-1.33) | (-1.44) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -. 024 | -. 040 |  |  |  | -. 031 | -. 032 |  |  |  | . 016 | . 025 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.77) | (-1.19) |  |  |  | (-1.22) | (-1.16) |  |  |  | (0.94) | (1.41) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -. 003 | -. 011 |  |  |  | . 047 | . 025 |  |  |  | -. 003 | . 008 |
|  |  |  |  | (-0.07) | (-0.20) |  |  |  | (1.18) | (0.60) |  |  |  | (-0.11) | (0.27) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | . 057 | . 047 |  |  |  | -. 012 | -. 023 |  |  |  | . 027 | . 000 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.00) | (0.77) |  |  |  | (-0.26) | (-0.47) |  |  |  | (0.82) | (-0.00) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | -. 111 |  |  |  |  | . 007 |  |  |  |  | .121** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-1.07) |  |  |  |  | (0.08) |  |  |  |  | (2.16) |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | -. 003 |  |  |  |  | -. 012 |  |  |  |  | .0323** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (-0.12) |  |  |  |  | (-0.55) |  |  |  |  | (2.16) |
| Constant | -. 015 | .287* | . 460 ** | .415* | .820** | . 025 | . 110 | .271* | . 155 | . 302 | -. 009 | -. 007 | -. 072 | -. 012 | -. 318 |
|  | (-0.59) | (1.94) | (2.26) | (1.84) | (2.29) | (1.22) | (0.95) | (1.65) | (0.85) | (1.07) | (-0.61) | (-0.08) | (-0.60) | (-0.09) | (-1.63) |
| $n$ | 2,557 | 2,160 | 2,118 | 2,052 | 1,837 | 3,263 | 2,778 | 2,720 | 2,631 | 2,336 | 6,551 | 5,584 | 5,459 | 5,253 | 4,859 |

Table B.9: Placebo Effects: Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 16 (Figure 5)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | $-.136^{* * *}$ | $-.173^{* * *}$ | $-.164^{* * *}$ | $-.146^{* * *}$ | $-.039$ | $-.255^{* * *}$ | $-.226^{* * *}$ | $-.220^{* * *}$ | $-.196^{* * *}$ | $-.161^{* * *}$ | $.268^{* * *}$ | $.244^{* * *}$ (7.72) | $.226^{* * *}$ | $.208^{* * *}$ | $.170^{* * *}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{aligned} & .006 \\ & (0.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.57) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0585^{*} \\ & (1.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .055 \\ & (1.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .057 \\ & (1.55) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0090^{* * *} \\ (2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0063^{*} \\ & (1.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0114^{* * *} \\ (2.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0118^{* * *} \\ (2.75) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0109^{* * *} \\ (-4.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-4.34)}{-.0106^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0079^{* * *} \\ (-2.74) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.201^{* *} \\ (-2.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.196^{* *} \\ (-2.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.232^{* * *} \\ (-2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.189 * * \\ (-2.04) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.064 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.080 \\ (-1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.113 \\ (-1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.081 \\ (-1.02) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 164^{* * *} \\ (3.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164^{* * *} \\ & (3.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .203^{* * *} \\ (3.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(3.70)}{.214^{* * *}}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .059 \\ (1.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .016 \\ (0.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 173^{* * *} \\ & (4.99) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0935^{* * *} \\ (2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0797^{* *} \\ (2.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0769^{* *} \\ (1.99) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0453^{*} \\ (-1.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-0.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0687^{*} \\ (-1.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.056 \\ (-1.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.038 \\ (-0.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.13) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.142^{* * *} \\ (-3.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0974^{* * *} \\ (-2.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0678^{*} \\ (-1.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0835^{* *} \\ (-2.12) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .150^{* * *} \\ (5.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .131^{* * *} \\ (4.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .115^{* * *} \\ (4.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0888^{* * *} \\ (3.06) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .010 \\ (0.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-1.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0578^{* * *} \\ (5.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0489^{* * *} \\ (4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0384^{* * *} \\ (3.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underset{(-2.38)}{-.0218^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0178^{*} \\ (-1.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0183^{*} \\ (-1.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0279^{* * *} \\ (2.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0268 * * * \\ (2.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0360^{* * *} \\ (4.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0340^{* * *} \\ (3.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0245^{* * *} \\ (2.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0182^{* * *} \\ (-2.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.45)}{-.0171^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0149^{*} \\ (-1.94) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .080 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .082 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (1.22) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 185^{* * *} \\ (4.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .152^{* * *} \\ (3.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .122^{* *} \\ & (2.43) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{(-3.87)}{-.125^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.104^{* * *} \\ (-3.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0944^{* * * *} \\ (-2.62) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0487^{* *} \\ (-2.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ (-1.52) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.105^{* * *} \\ (-5.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0963^{* * *} \\ (-4.37) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .010 \\ (0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.22) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0621^{*} \\ (-1.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0859^{* * *} \\ (-2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0803^{* *} \\ (-2.35) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0913^{* * *} \\ (4.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0662^{* * *} \\ (2.70) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .117^{* * *} \\ (2.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0792^{*} \\ & (1.74) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .125^{* * *} \\ (3.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 106^{* * *} \\ & (2.75) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0717^{* * *} \\ (-2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.033 \\ (-1.12) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 219^{* * *} \\ (2.84) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.38) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.259^{* * *} \\ (-5.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0889^{* * *} \\ (4.56) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0838^{* * *} \\ (4.91) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-1.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.101 \\ (-0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.515^{* * *} \\ (-3.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.413^{* *} \\ (-2.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.976^{* * *} \\ (-3.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0458^{* * *} \\ (2.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.241^{* * *} \\ (-2.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.240^{* * *} \\ (-9.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.171^{* * *} \\ (-8.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.285^{* * *} \\ (-5.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0559^{* * *} \\ (-4.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 190^{* * *} \\ (2.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[(6.77)]{.676^{* * *}}$ | $\underset{(4.79)}{.523^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.273^{* * *} \\ (7.56) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,192 | 2,625 | 2,554 | 2,473 | 2,150 | 4,102 | 3,408 | 3,314 | 3,206 | 2,768 | 7,694 | 6,356 | 6,171 | 5,929 | 5,088 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%, * *$ at $5 \%$, at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.10: Placebo Effects: Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 10 (Figure 5)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | -.138*** | -.118*** | -.108*** | -.0913*** | -. 017 | -. $174 * * *$ | -. $152^{* * *}$ | -.132*** | -.118*** | -.0690* | .193*** | .156*** | 134*** | .149*** | .0921*** |
|  | (-4.75) | (-3.63) | (-3.24) | (-2.68) | (-0.47) | (-5.95) | (-4.64) | (-4.00) | (-3.54) | (-1.89) | (6.87) | (4.94) | (4.15) | (4.51) | (2.65) |
| Male dummy |  | .218*** | .214*** | .212*** | .231*** |  | . 042 | . 032 | . 035 | .0590** |  | .145*** | .141*** | .149*** | .139*** |
|  |  | (8.50) | ${ }_{(8.27)}$ | ${ }_{(8.04)}$ | ${ }^{(8.27)}$ |  | (1.63) | ${ }^{(1.27)}$ | ${ }^{(1.35)}$ | ${ }_{\text {(2.15) }}$ |  | (5.86) | ${ }^{(5.65)}$ | (5.85) | (5.29) |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .0076^{* * *} \\ (3.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0078^{* * *} \\ (3.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0108^{* * *} \\ (3.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0117^{* * *} \\ (3.68) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0099^{* * *} \\ (4.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0084^{* * *} \\ (3.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0107^{* * *} \\ (3.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0101^{* * *} \\ (3.23) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0119^{* * *} \\ (-5.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0106^{* * *} \\ (-4.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0064^{* *} \\ (-2.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.04)}{-.0061^{* *}}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | -.112** | -.0891* | -.107* | -. 085 |  | -.224*** | -.198*** | -.220*** | -.195*** |  | . 027 | . 026 | . 059 | . 033 |
|  |  | (-2.09) | (-1.65) | (-1.93) | (-1.46) |  | (-4.20) | (-3.72) | (-4.05) | (-3.39) |  | (0.53) | (0.50) | (1.09) | (0.60) |
| Breastfed dummy |  | .0628** | . 020 | . 008 | -. 011 |  | .131*** | . 042 | . 028 | . 010 |  | -.0472* | -. 009 | -. 009 | -. 008 |
|  |  | (2.36) | (0.73) | (0.27) | (-0.35) |  | (4.90) | (1.58) | (1.02) | (0.34) |  | (-1.84) | (-0.33) | (-0.35) | (-0.29) |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | -.130*** | -.0946*** | -.0771*** | -.0759*** |  | -.192*** | -.131*** | -.110*** | -.107*** |  | .109*** | .0865*** | .0790*** | .0526* |
|  |  | (-4.88) | (-3.49) | (-2.78) | (-2.58) |  | (-7.19) | (-4.91) | (-4.05) | (-3.71) |  | (4.21) | (3.29) | (2.95) | (1.90) |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | .0365*** | .0301*** | . 017 |  |  | .0747*** | .0670*** | .0616*** |  |  | -.0216** | -.0160* | -. 012 |
|  |  |  | (3.71) | (2.99) | (1.55) |  |  | (7.74) | (6.83) | (5.83) |  |  | (-2.33) | (-1.68) | (-1.25) |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | .0269*** | . 0256 *** | .0209*** |  |  | .0472*** | .0454*** | .0355*** |  |  | -.0283*** | -.0294*** | -.0262*** |
|  |  |  | (3.70) | (3.47) | (2.63) |  |  | (6.61) | (6.30) | (4.55) |  |  | (-4.10) | (-4.21) | (-3.55) |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | . 050 | . 021 | -. 011 |  |  | .155*** | .119*** | .0805** |  |  | -.0871*** | -.0611* | -. 046 |
|  |  |  | (1.51) | (0.63) | (-0.31) |  |  | (4.80) | (3.58) | (2.27) |  |  | (-2.72) | (-1.86) | (-1.35) |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | -.0705*** | -.0640*** |  |  |  | -.0770*** | -.0697*** |  |  |  | . 011 | -. 017 |
|  |  |  |  | (-4.95) | (-4.15) |  |  |  | (-5.52) | (-4.60) |  |  |  | (0.76) | (-1.20) |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | -.0551** | -. 028 |  |  |  | -.0896*** | -.0677*** |  |  |  | .114*** | .0768*** |
|  |  |  |  | (-2.36) | (-1.13) |  |  |  | (-3.91) | (-2.74) |  |  |  | (5.10) | (3.29) |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | . $0727^{* * *}$ | .0613** |  |  |  | .127*** | .0960*** |  |  |  | -. $0782^{* * *}$ | -.041 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.61) | ${ }^{(2.05)}$ |  |  |  | (4.67) | (3.28) |  |  |  | (-2.90) | (-1.45) |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .152^{* * *} \\ (3.09) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .150^{* * *} \\ (3.11) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.386 * * * \\ (-8.37) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | .0706*** |  |  |  |  | .0676*** |  |  |  |  | -.0424*** |
|  |  |  |  |  | (5.28) |  |  |  |  | (5.15) |  |  |  |  | (-3.43) |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .0289^{* *} \\ (2.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.248^{* * *} \\ (-3.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.914^{* * *} \\ (-8.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.831 * * * \\ (-7.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.280^{* * *} \\ (-7.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0362^{* * *} \\ (2.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-3.02)}{-.209^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.480^{* * *} \\ (-14.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.357^{* * *} \\ (-12.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.762^{* * *} \\ (-1.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0401^{* * *} \\ (-3.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .182^{* * *} \\ (2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $.710^{* * *}$ $(7.07)$ | $\begin{gathered} .495^{* * *} \\ (4.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.559^{* * *} \\ (9.74) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (2.17) | (-3.60) | (-8.69) | (-7.28) | (-7.48) | (2.72) | (-3.02) | (-14.35) | (-12.16) | (-1.46) | (-3.13) | (2.73) | (7.07) | (4.53) | (9.74) |
| $n$ | 7,122 | 5,956 | 5,782 | 5,558 | 4,898 | 7,080 | 5,917 | 5,742 | 5,519 | 4,865 | 7,640 | 6,433 | 6,238 | 5,995 | 5,541 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: ${ }^{* * *}$ at $1 \%, * *$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.11: Placebo Effects: Types of Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 16 (Figure 6)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | $\begin{gathered} -.075 \\ \hline(-0.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -., 19 \\ \hline . .099 \\ (-0.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (.051 \\ \hline(-0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.090 \\ \hline(-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.129 \\ (-1.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.082 \\ \hline(-0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.050 \\ (-0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108 \\ & (1.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .129^{*} \\ & (1.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .117 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .052 \\ (0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ |
| Divorce | $(-2.56)$ | $\begin{gathered} -.216^{* * *} \\ (-2.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.210 * * * \\ & (-2.90) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.163^{* *} \\ & (-2.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.051 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid-300 * * * \\ (-5.35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.233^{* * *} \\ (-3.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.243^{* * *} \\ (-3.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.214^{* * *} \\ (-3.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.153^{* *} \\ (-2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .271^{* * *} \\ (7.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(5.47)}{.233 * * *}$ | $\begin{gathered} .220^{* * *} \\ (5.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 206^{* * *} \\ (4.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .167^{* * *} \\ (3.45) \end{gathered}$ |
| Separation | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .109 \\ (0.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .121 \\ (0.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .124 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .229^{*} \\ & (1.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.197^{* *} \\ (-1.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.124 \\ (-1.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.144 \\ (-1.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.122 \\ (-1.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.091 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .206^{* * *} \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .125 \\ (1.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .094 \\ (1.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .087 \\ (1.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (0.84) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .041 \\ (0.94) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0669^{*} \\ & (1.91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .050 \\ & (1.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (1.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .061 \\ (1.63) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (1.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (1.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .004 \\ & (0.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .00828^{* *} \\ (2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .005 \\ & (1.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(2.54)}{.0103^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0108^{* *} \\ (2.46) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\underset{(-4.75)}{-.0117^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0111^{* * *} \\ (-4.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.00731^{* *} \\ (-2.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.00814^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.183^{* *} \\ (-2.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.179^{* *} \\ (-2.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.217^{* *} \\ (-2.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.171^{*} \\ & (-1.80) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.046 \\ (-0.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.063 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.095 \\ (-1.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.070 \\ (-0.88) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .174^{* * *} \\ (3.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 172^{* * *} \\ & (3.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 213^{* * *} \\ (3.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(3.79)}{ }$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .045 \\ (1.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .005 \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .171^{* * *} \\ (4.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0819^{* *} \\ (2.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0688^{*} \\ & (1.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0713^{*} \\ & (1.82) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0486^{*} \\ (-1.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.020 \\ (-0.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $-(-1.82)$ | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-1.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.25) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.154^{* * *} \\ (-4.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.76)}{-.102^{* * *}}$ | $\left.-.0715^{*}\right)(-1.91)$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0955^{* *} \\ (-2.38) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .157^{* * *} \\ (5.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .140^{* * *} \\ (5.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .121^{* * *} \\ (4.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0889^{* * *} \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .011 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .004 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0604^{* * *} \\ (5.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0525^{* * *} \\ (4.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0399^{* * *} \\ (3.10) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0204^{* *} \\ (-2.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0168^{*} \\ (-1.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0184^{*} \\ (-1.77) \end{array}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0271^{* * *} \\ (2.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0258^{* * *} \\ (2.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (1.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0390^{* * *} \\ (4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0367^{* * *} \\ (4.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0276^{* * *} \\ (2.93) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underset{(-2.72)}{-.0190^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0180^{* *} \\ (-2.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.13)}{-.0166^{* *}}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .084 \\ (1.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .084 \\ (1.50) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (1.20) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 187^{* * *} \\ (4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .153^{* * *} \\ (3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .127^{* *} \\ & (2.47) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.107^{* * *} \\ (-3.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0861^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0714^{*} \\ (-1.93) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0481^{* *} \\ (-2.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0440^{*} \\ (-1.71) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.111^{* * *} \\ (-5.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.104^{* * *} \\ (-4.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.058 \\ (-1.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.017 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0952^{* * *} \\ (-2.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0869^{* *} \\ (-2.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .102^{* * *} \\ (4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0749^{* * *} \\ (3.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .113^{* * *} \\ (2.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .075 \\ (1.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .117^{* * *} \\ (3.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .100^{* *} \\ & (2.55) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0753^{* * *} \\ (-2.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.042 \\ (-1.41) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.73)}{.215 * * *}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .029 \\ (0.43) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.288^{* * *} \\ (-5.75) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0817^{* * *} \\ (4.09) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0783^{* * *} \\ (4.48) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.96) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .017 \\ (0.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.106 \\ (-0.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.526^{* * *} \\ (-3.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.442^{* * *} \\ (-2.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-3.58)}{-.971^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0344^{* *} \\ (2.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.236^{* *} \\ (-2.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.276 * * * \\ (-9.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-8.19)}{-1.200^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.297^{* * *} \\ (-5.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} -.0386^{* * *} \\ (-3.02) \end{array}$ | $\underset{(3.24)}{.226^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .684^{* * *} \\ (6.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .501^{* * *} \\ (4.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.304^{* * *} \\ (7.55) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 3,055 | 2,529 | 2,477 | 2,400 | 2,092 | 3,931 | 3,281 | 3,210 | 3,108 | 2,693 | 7,319 | 6,084 | 5,946 | 5,719 | 4,915 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.12: Placebo Effects: Types of Family Disruption between 10 and 16 on Personality at 10 (Figure 6)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Death | $\begin{gathered} -.060 \\ (-0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.056 \\ (-0.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.033 \\ (-0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.001 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .036 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.022 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .025 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .030 \\ (0.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .010 \\ (0.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.037 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline .043 \\ (-0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.035 \\ (-0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.049 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ |
| Divorce | $\begin{gathered} -.176^{* * *} \\ (-4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.141^{* * *} \\ (-3.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.138^{* * *} \\ (-3.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.116^{* *} \\ (-2.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.195^{* * *} \\ (-4.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.171^{* * *} \\ (-3.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.159^{* * *} \\ (-3.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.142^{* * *} \\ (-3.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.067 \\ (-1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .226^{* * *} \\ (5.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .189^{* * *} \\ & (4.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .191^{* * *} \\ (4.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[(4.64)]{203^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .150^{* * *} \\ (3.30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Separation | $\begin{aligned} & -.132^{*} \\ & (-1.85) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.111 \\ (-1.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.105 \\ (-1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.096 \\ (-1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.040 \\ (-0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.133^{*} \\ & (-1.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.098 \\ (-1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.099 \\ (-1.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.091 \\ (-1.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.050 \\ (-0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .300^{* * *} \\ (4.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 215^{* * *} \\ (2.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .201^{* * *} \\ (2.65) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{(2,85)}$ | $\begin{gathered} .107 \\ (1.34) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} 217^{* * *} \\ (8.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .212^{* * *} \\ (8.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .210^{* * *} \\ (7.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .228^{* * *} \\ (8.00) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .041 \\ (1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .032 \\ (1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .035 \\ (1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0583^{* *} \\ (2.08) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .144^{* * *} \\ & (5.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .139^{* * *} \\ (5.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .148^{* * *} \\ & (5.70) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .136^{* * *} \\ (5.09) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .0076^{* * *} \\ (3.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0071^{* * *} \\ (2.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0098^{* * *} \\ (3.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0110^{* * *} \\ (3.36) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0091^{* * *} \\ (3.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0072^{* * *} \\ (2.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0091^{* * *} \\ (3.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0089^{* * *} \\ (2.78) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0111^{* * *} \\ (-4.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0098^{* * *} \\ (-3.94) \end{gathered}$ | $-\left(-0050^{*}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-1.64) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.119^{* *} \\ (-2.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0964^{*} \\ (-1.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.117^{* *} \\ (-2.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.097 \\ (-1.61) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.204^{* * *} \\ (-3.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.186^{* * *} \\ (-3.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-3.76)}{-.210^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.187^{* * *} \\ (-3.17) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .053 \\ (1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .043 \\ (0.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .079 \\ (1.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .054 \\ (0.96) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .0583^{* *} \\ (2.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .114^{* * *} \\ (4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .021 \\ & (0.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .008 \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.47) \end{gathered}$ |  | $-(-1.65)$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.34) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.128^{* * *} \\ (-4.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0926^{* * *} \\ (-3.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0737^{* * *} \\ (-2.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0732^{* *} \\ (-2.44) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.197^{* * *} \\ (-7.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.137^{* * *} \\ (-5.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.115^{* * *} \\ (-4.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.114^{* * *} \\ (-3.87) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 117^{* * *} \\ (4.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0935^{* * *} \\ (3.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0869^{* * *} \\ (3.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0600^{* *} \\ (2.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0350^{* * *} \\ (3.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0290^{* * *} \\ (2.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .016 \\ (1.46) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0734^{* * *} \\ (7.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0658^{* * *} \\ (6.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0600^{* * *} \\ (5.60) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0222^{* *} \\ (-2.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0165^{*} \\ (-1.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-1.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0294^{* * *} \\ (3.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0281^{* * *} \\ (3.76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0228^{* * *} \\ (2.83) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0503^{* * *} \\ (6.95) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0488^{* * *} \\ (6.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0392 * * * \\ (4.95) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0292^{* * *} \\ (-4.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0306^{* * *} \\ (-4.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0273^{* * *} \\ (-3.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0586^{*} \\ & (1.73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .028 \\ (0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.38) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .162^{* * *} \\ (4.87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .126^{* * *} \\ (3.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0815^{* *} \\ (2.24) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0767^{* *} \\ (-2.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.052 \\ (-1.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.032 \\ (-0.93) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0699^{* * *} \\ (-4.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0629^{* * *} \\ (-3.99) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0740^{* * *} \\ (-5.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0679^{* * *} \\ (-4.37) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-1.28) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0576^{* *} \\ (-2.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.027 \\ (-1.06) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0889^{* * *} \\ (-3.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0635^{* *} \\ (-2.51) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .121^{* * *} \\ & (5.30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0791^{* * *} \\ (3.33) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0709^{* *} \\ (2.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0627^{* *} \\ (2.06) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .127^{* * *} \\ (4.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0959 * * * \\ (3.21) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0759^{* * *} \\ (-2.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ (-1.35) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 158^{* * *} \\ & (3.15) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .144^{* * *} \\ (2.92) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.390^{* * *} \\ (-8.28) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0741^{* * *} \\ (5.39) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0665^{* * *} \\ (4.93) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0439^{* * *} \\ (-3.46) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & .0245^{*} \\ & (1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.252^{* * *} \\ (-3.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.914^{* * *} \\ (-8.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-7.09)}{-.826^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.301 * * * \\ (-7.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0246^{*} \\ & (1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(-2.69)}{-.192^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.472^{* * *} \\ (-14.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.347^{* * *} \\ (-11.83) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-1.11)}{-1.739^{* * *}}$ | $\frac{-.0323^{* *}}{(-2.52)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .164^{* *} \\ & (2.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(6.79)}{.698^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} .466^{* * *} \\ (4.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.538^{* * *} \\ (9.39) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 6,753 | 5,679 | 5,552 | 5,341 | 4,716 | 6,716 | 5,644 | 5,517 | 5,307 | 4,686 | 7,268 | 6,156 | 6,012 | 5,782 | 5,343 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%,{ }^{* *}$ at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection.
Table B.13: Point estimates for Family Disruption on Personality Level at Age 16, Restricted Sample (Figure 7)

| Dependent variable | Self-esteem (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Locus of Control (at age 16) |  |  |  |  | Rutter Index (at age 16) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | $\begin{gathered} -.136^{* *} \\ (-2.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.121^{* *} \\ (-2.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.114^{*} \\ & (-1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.107^{*} \\ & (-1.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ \hline-0.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline-.271^{* * *} \\ (-5.16) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.226^{* * *} \\ (-4.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.218^{* * *} \\ (-4.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.212^{* * *} \\ (-4.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.161^{* * *} \\ (-3.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .247^{* * *} \\ (6.87) \end{gathered}$ | $208^{* * *}$ | $\begin{gathered} .194^{* * *} \\ (5.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .196^{* * *} \\ (5.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .170^{* * *} \\ (4.62) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .013 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.57) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0642^{*} \\ (1.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .056 \\ (1.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .057 \\ (1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .057 \\ (1.55) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.021 \\ (-0.74) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .001 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .0107^{* * *} \\ (2.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .00779^{* *} \\ (2.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0114^{* * *} \\ (2.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(2.75)}{.0118^{* * *}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0142^{* * *} \\ (-5.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0129^{* * *} \\ (-4.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-3.27)}{-.0103^{* * *}}$ | $\underset{(-3.07)}{-.00966^{* * *}}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.203^{* *} \\ (-2.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.194^{* *} \\ (-2.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.205^{* *} \\ (-2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -189 * * \\ (-2.04) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.099 \\ (-1.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.093 \\ (-1.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.093 \\ (-1.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.081 \\ (-1.02) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 242^{* * *} \\ & (4.16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .228^{* * *} \\ (3.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 225^{* * *} \\ (3.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .214^{* * *} \\ (3.70) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} .059 \\ (1.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .023 \\ (0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (0.33) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 168^{* * *} \\ & (4.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0859^{* *} \\ (2.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0723^{*} \\ & (1.87) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0769^{* *} \\ (1.99) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0481^{*} \\ (-1.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.061 \\ (-1.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.036 \\ (-0.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.027 \\ (-0.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.13) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.166^{* * *} \\ (-4.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.78)}{-.110^{* * *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0921^{* *} \\ (-2.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0835^{* *} \\ (-2.12) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 125^{* * *} \\ (4.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0987^{* * *} \\ (3.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0949^{* * *} \\ (3.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0888^{* * *} \\ (3.06) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-1.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0560^{* * *} \\ (4.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0488^{* * *} \\ (3.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0384^{* * *} \\ (3.03) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0240^{* *} \\ (-2.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0211^{* *} \\ (-2.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0183^{*} \\ (-1.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0254^{* *} \\ (2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0238^{* *} \\ & (2.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .015 \\ (1.39) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0358^{* * *} \\ (3.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0340^{* * *} \\ (3.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0245^{* * *} \\ (2.62) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0190^{* *} \\ (-2.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.38)}{-.0179^{* *}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0149^{*} \\ (-1.94) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .134^{* *} \\ & (2.28) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .117^{* *} \\ & (2.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (1.22) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .189^{* * *} \\ (3.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .151^{* * *} \\ & (3.03) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .122^{* *} \\ & (2.43) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.124^{* * *} \\ (-3.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.109 * * * \\ (-3.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-2.62)}{-.0944^{* * *}}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0488^{*} \\ (-1.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.039 \\ (-1.52) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0981^{* * *} \\ (-4.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-4.37)}{-.0963^{* * *}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .019 \\ (1.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .003 \\ (0.22) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.041 \\ (-1.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.015 \\ (-0.37) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0952^{* * *} \\ (-2.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0803^{* *} \\ (-2.35) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0882^{* * *} \\ (3.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0662^{* * *} \\ (2.70) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .107^{* *} \\ & (2.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0792^{*} \\ & (1.74) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 120^{* * *} \\ & (3.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .106^{* * *} \\ (2.75) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.0525^{*} \\ (-1.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.033 \\ (-1.12) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\underset{(2.84)}{.219^{* * *}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.38) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.259^{* * *} \\ (-5.31) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0889^{* * *} \\ (4.56) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .0838^{* * *} \\ (4.91) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.019 \\ (-1.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .022 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.050 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.400^{* *} \\ (-2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.337^{*} \\ & (-1.88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.065^{* * *} \\ (-4.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0416^{* *} \\ (2.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.278^{* * *} \\ (-2.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.262^{* * *} \\ (-8.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.157^{* * *} \\ (-7.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.369^{* * *} \\ (-6.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0455^{* * *} \\ (-2.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .295^{* * *} \\ (3.88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .781^{* * *} \\ (7.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .622^{* * *} \\ (5.25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.292^{* * *} \\ (7.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,768 | 2,768 | 2,768 | 2,768 | 2,768 | 5,088 | 5,088 | 5,088 | 5,088 | 5,088 |

Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%$, ** at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection. Only respondents with complete information on the full set of controls - including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age 10 - were considered in the analyses.
Table B.14: Point estimates for Family Disruption on Personality Change Between Ages 10 and 16, Restricted Sample (Figure 7)

| Dependent variable | $\Delta$ Self-esteem |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Locus of Control |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ Rutter Index |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Loss of parent | $\begin{gathered} -.011 \\ (-0.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.041 \\ (-0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-0.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.040 \\ (-0.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.044 \\ (-0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.184^{* * *} \\ (-2.98) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\left(-.198^{* * *}\right.}{\substack{17)}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.202^{* * *} \\ (-3.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(-3.14)}{\substack{-197^{* * *} \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.203^{* * *} \\ (-3.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0956^{* *} \\ (2.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0891^{* *} \\ (2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0891^{* *} \\ (2.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .0864^{* *} \\ (2.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .108^{* * *} \\ (2.61) \end{gathered}$ |
| Male dummy |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.222^{* * *} \\ & (-3.95) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.222^{* * *} \\ & (-3.95) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.223^{* * *} \\ (-3.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .224^{* * *} \\ (-3.94) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.012 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.20) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.153^{* * *} \\ (-4.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.153^{* * *} \\ (-4.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.152^{* * *} \\ (-4.91) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.148^{* * *} \\ (-4.78) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother's age at birth |  | $\begin{gathered} -.00949^{*} \\ (-1.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.00927^{*} \\ (-1.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-1.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.008 \\ (-1.13) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-1.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.002 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.001 \\ (-0.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-1.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-1.11) \end{gathered}$ |
| Low birth weight |  | $\begin{gathered} -.092 \\ (-0.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.094 \\ (-0.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.097 \\ (-0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.101 \\ (-0.82) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .125 \\ (1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .124 \\ (1.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .127 \\ (1.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .126 \\ (1.31) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & .167^{* *} \\ & (2.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .165^{* *} \\ & (2.52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .165^{* *} \\ & (2.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 174^{* * * *} \\ (2.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| Breastfed dummy |  | $\begin{gathered} -.030 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.009 \\ (-0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.27) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .046 \\ (0.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .040 \\ (0.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .039 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.016 \\ (-0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.013 \\ (-0.38) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mother smoked during pregnancy |  | $\begin{gathered} .063 \\ (1.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .061 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .066 \\ (1.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .062 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .030 \\ (0.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .026 \\ (0.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .026 \\ (0.53) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .013 \\ (0.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .016 \\ (0.48) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling mother |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ (-1.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.029 \\ (-1.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.029 \\ (-1.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.025 \\ (-1.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.025 \\ (-1.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.024 \\ (-1.52) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.004 \\ (-0.32) \end{gathered}$ |
| Years of schooling father |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.006 \\ (-0.53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.005 \\ (-0.43) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .013 \\ (1.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .012 \\ (1.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .009 \\ (1.05) \end{gathered}$ |
| Parents skilled/professional |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .078 \\ (1.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .072 \\ (0.93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .075 \\ (0.97) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .023 \\ (0.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .021 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .025 \\ (0.40) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.055 \\ (-1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.055 \\ (-1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.0674^{*} \\ (-1.66) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of older siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.022 \\ (-0.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.026 \\ (-0.79) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.029 \\ (-1.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.028 \\ (-1.05) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .017 \\ (1.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .026 \\ (1.50) \end{gathered}$ |
| \# of younger siblings |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.002 \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.007 \\ (-0.13) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .035 \\ (0.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .035 \\ (0.83) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.014 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (-0.02) \end{gathered}$ |
| Read to every day |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .043 \\ (0.72) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (0.79) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.024 \\ (-0.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.024 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .026 \\ (0.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .014 \\ (0.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| Family ties |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.076 \\ (-0.75) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .138^{* *} \\ & (2.49) \end{aligned}$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -.010 \\ (-0.48) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .0243^{*} \\ & (1.67) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} .002 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .346^{* *} \\ & (2.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .579 * * * \\ (2.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .575^{* *} \\ (2.43) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .767^{* *} \\ & (2.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .028 \\ (1.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .140 \\ (1.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .415^{* *} \\ & (2.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .315^{*} \\ & (1.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} .310 \\ (1.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.018 \\ (-1.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .087 \\ (1.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .000 \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} .047 \\ (0.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -.331^{*} \\ (-1.74) \end{gathered}$ |
| $n$ | 1,893 | 1,893 | 1,893 | 1,893 | 1,893 | 2,404 | 2,404 | 2,404 | 2,404 | 2,404 | 5,028 | 5,028 | 5,028 | 5,028 | 5,028 | Note: OLS regression results. T-statistics reported in parentheses. Stars indicate significance of coefficients: *** at $1 \%$, ** at $5 \%$, * at $10 \%$. For each personality trait, column (1) shows the baseline specification, without controls. Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) add more controls to the model, to account for selection. The change in each of the personality traits is measured by the difference between the measurements at ages 10 and 16 . Only respondents with complete information on the full set of controls - including birth conditions, social class at birth, and family characteristics at age 5 and at age $10-$ were considered in the analyses.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Losing one of two natural parents in the household does not necessarily imply that the lost parent is absent all of the time. Next to changes as a result of death, separation or divorce of parents could mean that the role of the lost parent in the child's life has changed. In all cases, the lost parents could have been replaced with a stepparent of other mother/father figure. These influences are not taken into consideration in this study because we

[^2]:    lack information in our data.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Roberts, Robins, Trzesniewski \& Caspi (2003) summarize findings regarding the development of Big Five personality traits, and show that in childhood and adolescence, individuals become more conscientious and agreeable. In terms of emotional stability, individuals either do not change, or become more stable.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Measured as average score on 7 family activities, each scaled 1 "rarely/never" 2 "sometimes" 3 "often" (Cronbach's alpha 0.66). Items: "As a family, how often do you": go for walks, go on outings, go on holidays, go shopping, go to restaurants, have meals together, have talks together.

[^5]:    Note: Sample includes all individuals with valid information on the individual items, as well as imputed values for individuals with 1 or 2 missing values in the set of self-esteem or locus of control items. Values are imputed using multiple imputation through chained equations using the remaining items as regressors. Items are scaled 0 "yes" 1 "don't know" 2 "no", except the first self-esteem item "Parents like to hear about ideas" and locus of control item "Believe in planning ahead", which are reversely coded. Higher scores thus indicate higher self-esteem and higher internal locus of control.

[^6]:    Note: Pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients. Only those significant at the 5 per cent level or higher are reported.

