

IZA DP No. 138

Firm-specific Training: Consequences for Job Mobility

Josef Zweimüller Rudol Winter-Ebmer

March 2000

Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit Institute for the Study of Labor

Firm-specific Training: Consequences for Job Mobility

Josef Zweimüller

University of Zürich, CEPR, London and IZA, Bonn

Rudolf Winter-Ebmer

University of Linz, WIFO, Vienna, CEPR, London and IZA, Bonn

Discussion Paper No. 138 March 2000

IZA

P.O. Box 7240 D-53072 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-3894-0 Fax: +49-228-3894-210 Email: iza@iza.org

This Discussion Paper is issued within the framework of IZA's research area *Mobility and Flexibility of Labor Markets*. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent, nonprofit limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung) supported by the Deutsche Post AG. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public. The current research program deals with (1) mobility and flexibility of labor markets, (2) internationalization of labor markets and European integration, (3) the welfare state and labor markets, (4) labor markets in transition, (5) the future of work, (6) project evaluation and (7) general labor economics.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character.

ABSTRACT

Firm-specific Training: Consequences for Job Mobility*

This paper analyzes the impact of formal training on worker mobility. Using data from the Swiss Labor Force Survey, we find that on-the-job search activities and, to a smaller extent, actual job separations are significantly affected by both employer-provided and general training. Moreover, while the separation probability of searching workers is strongly affected by previous firm-provided training, no such effect shows up for non-searchers. This is consistent with the hypothesis that workers bear most of the cost of specific training.

JEL Classification: J63, J24

Keywords: Firm-specific training, on-the-job-search, turnover

Rudolf Winter-Ebmer Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre University of Linz Altenberger Str. 69 4040 Linz Austria

Tel: +43-732-2468-236

Fax: +43-732-2468-238

Email: r.winterebmer@jk.uni-linz.ac.at

_

^{*} Thanks to Johannes Binswanger for excellent research assistance, to Steve Pischke and seminar participants at Linz for valuable comments. This research has been supported by the Austrian Central Bank's Jubilee Fund (#6819/2) and by the European Commission under the TSER program PL980182 for the PURE project. The views in this paper are not necessarily those of the associated institutions.

1. Introduction

This paper analyzes the impact of firm-specific training on job mobility. While many recent studies have looked at the impact of employer-provided training on level and growth of wages, the impact of training on employee turnover has received far less attention. This is surprising since it is widely acknowledged that training is a potentially important determinant not only of wages but also of other labor market outcomes. In the present paper, we will study the consequences of training for job mobility and job-search. As actual job mobility is the composite of voluntary quits and involuntary layoffs, which are difficult to separate in most cases, we look also at the workers' intention to look for a new job. Workers' on-the-job search activity gives us a separate indicator for mobility decisions. Turnover and intended turnover can be seen as a main determinant in unequal treatment of males and females in terms of wages and/or job promotion. Training on the job is certainly one measure to increase the worker's attachment with his firm. We therefore estimate the impact of training separately for males and females.

With respect to the impact on turnover, theories of on-the-job training make a clear prediction: Investment in specific human capital reduces workers' incentive to quit a job and firms' incentive to fire a worker. While this is a robust theoretical result, there is hardly empirical evidence that supports this prediction. The reason is not only the scarcity of empirical studies as such, but also that the existing studies yield ambiguous results. Among the few papers that address the issue, Lynch (1991), Gritz (1993), and Parent (1999) find that company training reduces the probability of job separations for young U.S. workers. In contrast, Krueger and Rouse (1998) who focus on personnel files from two large U.S. companies, and Veum (1997) who uses NLSY-data conclude that trainees are equally likely to quit than non-trainees.

While most of the existing empirical evidence in the literature is based on U.S. data, the empirical evidence presented in this paper is based on data from Switzerland. Concerning the impact of training on turnover, the Swiss case may be particularly interesting because of the very low mobility of its work-force: only about 8 % in our sample have changed jobs

-

¹ For a comprehensive survey on the impact of training on labor market outcomes, see Bishop (1997).

² See Lazear and Rosen (1990) and Winter-Ebmer and Zweimüller (1997) for an empirical test of this model of statistical discrimination.

between two subsequent years. At the same time, training incidence in Switzerland is not very different from other OECD-countries (O'Connell, 1999). ³

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we describe the data and the estimation procedure. Section 3 presents the results and Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and Estimation

To study the impact of training on worker turnover we use data from the Swiss Labor Force Survey (SLFS). The SLFS is a rotating panel from which we use information on the years 1991 to 1996. The survey contains detailed information about training participation of workers during the past year. In particular, we know whether the training is supported by the employer either because the training takes place during the regular working time or because the employer finances any direct costs. This allows us to distinguish between 'employer-provided' and 'self-financed' training. Employer-provided training will be associated with firm-specific training whereas self-financed training will be associated with general training. The panel character of the SLSF allows to trace workers' careers over time from which their job mobility can be inferred. Furthermore, the survey asks all employed workers whether they are currently looking for another job. This allows us to analyze the importance of training as a determinant of the *intended* mobility by workers.

The total sample used for this study consists of somewhat more than 36,000 worker-year observations. Among these 35.7 % have participated in occupational training (38.8 % of all men 32.0 % of women), of which 29.5 % received employer-provided training and 6.7 % participated in training-measures that were not supported by the employer.⁵ From the whole sample 8 % (6.8 % of all male employees, 9.5 % of all females) changed jobs between the current and the subsequent survey year, and of which 7.7 % (7.5 % for males, 8.1 % for females) were currently looking for another job while employed.

These data allow us to study the impact of firm-specific and general training on (i) workers' on-the-job search and (ii) actual job mobility of workers. To estimate the impact of training on these variables, we ran probit regressions of the following type

(1)
$$y_i = x_i \beta + \alpha_1 F_i + \alpha_2 G_i + \varepsilon_i$$

.

³ Leuven and Oosterbeek (1999) using data from the International Adult Literacy Survey find that Swiss employers are more active in initiating and financing training as compared to employers in the U.S., Canada and The Netherlands.

⁴ See Winter-Ebmer and Zweimüller (1999) for an analysis of wage differentials using these data.

⁵ For a detailed analysis of the incidence of training in Switzerland, see Bundesamt für Statistik (1997).

The outcome y_i is a dummy variable in the regressions that analyze on-the-job search behavior and workers' actual job separations. On-the-job search is coded 1, if the individual is currently looking for a new job, whereas mobility is coded 1 if the individual changes jobs within one year after the interview date. x_i is a vector of human-capital and other control variables and β the corresponding vector of coefficients to be estimated. The parameters of interest are α_1 and α_2 which measure the estimated impact of firm-specific training by individual i, F_i , and of general training, G_i , respectively. ϵ_i is an error term that satisfies the usual assumptions.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the results of the probit analysis for on-the-job search (Panel A of Table 1) and for job changes (Panel B). Table 1 displays the marginal impact of a change in the training variable (from 0 to 1), evaluated at the means of the control variables. As far as workers' on-the-job search activities are concerned, the results show a clear picture. The probability that a currently employed worker looks for another job is significantly lower, if this worker underwent firm-specific training within the year prior to the survey. Also the magnitude of the training-effect is sizeable, given the overall on-the-job search activities of Swiss workers. The probability of job-search for trainees is 1.8 percentage points lower than the corresponding value for non-trainees. This compares to a fraction of 7.7 % on-the-job searchers in our sample. Interestingly, the impact is 40 % larger for women than for men. This higher effect of firm-specific training for women could be caused by their somewhat lower training incidence. Nonetheless it seems that training is a good personnel policy to tie qualified female workers closer to the firm.

Table 1

Also general training activities have a significant and strong impact on on-the-job search activities of Swiss workers. However, while firm-specific training decreases workers' on-the-job search activities, general training *increases* the probability of search. In absolute value, the impact of general training is even somewhat stronger than the impact of firm-specific training. Workers who invest in general training are looking for a new job to apply their new proficiencies efficiently. There are no important gender differences.

These results are not inconsistent with the traditional human capital explanation of the consequences of firm-specific training for employee turnover. If workers share some of the specific investments their incentive to quit is lower. This should show up in lower search activities of trainees. If the training investment is general, we would not expect any significant impact on employee turnover. The positive correlation of general training in Table 1 could be the result of reverse causation: workers who intend to move invest in general human capital to improve their position as a searcher on the external labor market. In order to mitigate these reverse-causation problem, we substituted the incidence of training in the last period by the incidence of training in period t-2. The qualitative results were practically the same.⁶ Another explanation for the positive impact of general training on on-the-job search is the possibility of wage raises: Workers are supposed to be rewarded according to their outside opportunities, which should increase with general training. In order to get or even know about these outside offers, the workers have to increase their on-the-job search.

While we find an unambiguous impact of training on workers' search behavior, the evidence is less clear and less strong for actual job separations. In line with theories of specific investment we find for the whole sample, that firm-specific training induces lower mobility. Compared to the above results on on-the-job search behavior, the effect of training on actual separations is quantitatively smaller and significant only for women, but not for men. Furthermore, we find no significant impact of general training.

Taken together, our results indicate that the absence of firm-specific training increases search intensity for a new job considerably, but actual moving less so. How can this puzzle be explained? It seems plausible that the impact of firm-specific training on search behavior must be stronger than the one on moving, because not all searchers can actually find a suitable new job – given that layoff rates in Switzerland are low. Moreover, only insofar as rents from this firm-specific training are shared between the employer and the employee, there is an incentive for the worker to stay with the current firm.

Table 2

One possibility to shed more light on the different impact of firm-specific training on on-thejob search and mobility is to look at differences in the training-impact between job quitters and workers laid off involuntarily. Why should the cause for the termination of the contract be important for the impact of firm-specific training on job mobility? The way in which costs

⁶ Detailed results can be received from the authors upon request.

and benefits of firm-specific training are shared between worker and employer (Hashimoto, 1981) will have consequences on turnover decisions.⁷ A firm bearing most of the training costs (and its benefits) will think twice before laying off recently trained workers. In this situation voluntary quit behavior of workers should not change much as a result of training. Thus, the impact of firm-specific training on voluntary quits and layoffs can give us some hints on the applied sharing rules.

Unfortunately, our data contain no distinction between quits and layoffs. Instead we use the information on worker's search activities as an indication for voluntary quits. When the sample is divided according to previous job-search behavior, we get very clear results: For workers who have searched for a new job at the interview date, separation from the job within the next year is drastically reduced if they benefited form firm-specific training; this is especially so for women. The impact of firm-specific training on those who did not search in the past, is much lower and only significant for women. If we loosely interpret the mobility of searchers as voluntary quits and the mobility of non-searchers as layoffs, we can conclude that the benefits of firm-specific training are predominantly collected by the workers. The lower impact of firm-specific training on job mobility – as opposed to job-search behavior – can, therefore, be explained by the higher impact on voluntary quits, which shows up already in the job-search decision.⁸

4. Conclusions

This paper studies the impact of training on employee turnover in Switzerland. We find that firm-specific training leads to a significant reduction in on-the-job search activities of Swiss workers and a weaker but still significant impact of firm-specific training on actual job separations. Workers previously enrolled in general training have a higher probability of on-the-job search but such training has no impact on actual job separations. Moreover, we find that the separation probability of a searching worker is strongly affected by previous firm-specific training, whereas the separation probability of a non-searcher is not affected by firm-specific training. This result is consistent with the hypothesis that workers pay most of the cost of firm-specific training.

_

⁷ This argument relates to the cost-sharing of firm-specific training, see also Barron et al. (1998). For arguments why firms would also share in the costs of general training see Acemoglu and Pischke (1999).

⁸ The fact that firm-specific training has no – or no big – negative impact on firm-initiated separations can also be explained by a signaling argument. Firm-specific training can serve as an information-revelation device to distinguish between competent and less competent workers, which may lead to laying off the incompetent ones.

Table 1: The impact of training on on-the-job search and job changes⁹

Panel A:	(On-the-job search (0,1	1)
	All	Men	Women
Firm-specific training (0,1)	-0.018 (0.003)	-0.015 (0.003)	-0.021 (0.004)
General training (0,1)	0.027 (0.007)	0.027 (0.010)	0.025 (0.010)
Pseudo R ²	0.077	0.093	0.065
Mean of LHS variable	0.075	0.073	0.078
N	41527	22309	19218

Panel B:	Worke	er changes job next ye	ar (0,1)
	All	Men	Women
Firm-specific training (0,1)	-0.005 (0.002)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.009 (0.004)
General training (0,1)	0.001 (0.004)	-0.001 (0.005)	0.004 (0.006)
Pseudo R ²	0.157	0.167	0.145
Mean of LHS variable	0.078	0.067	0.091
N	41585	22338	19247

⁹ The coefficients are marginal effects from Probit regressions, standard errors are in parentheses. Additional controls include: gender, age, age², tenure, tenure², years of education, nationality, family status, apprentice certificate, part-time dummy, overtime dummy, as well as 3 firm-size, 2 city size, 2 job hierarchy, 9 industry dummies and 5 year fixed effects.

Table 2: Job changes for previously searching and non-searching workers 10

Panel A:

Sub-Sample: Worker has *not* searched for a new job at the interview date

Dependent var.: Worker changes job next year (0,1)

	All	Men	Women
Firm-specific training (0,1)	-0.003 (0.002)	-0.001 (0.002)	-0.005 (0.003)
General training (0,1)	0.003 (0.004)	-0.0002 (0.005)	0.006 (0.007)
Pseudo R ²	0.158	0.169	0.145
Mean of LHS variable	0.068	0.058	0.081
N	38396	20683	17713

Panel B:

Sub-Sample: Worker has searched for a new job at the interview date

Dependent var.: Worker changes job next year (0,1)

	All	Men	Women
Firm-specific training (0,1)	-0.050 (0.019)	-0.031 (0.025)	-0.067 (0.028)
General training (0,1)	-0.042 (0.025)	-0.039 (0.035)	0.045 (0.034)
Pseudo R ²	0.098	0.092	0.116
Mean of LHS variable	0.194	0.176	0.213
N	3131	1626	1505

 10 The coefficients are marginal effects from Probit regressions. Same control variables as in Table 1.

References

- Acemoglu, Daron and Jörn-Steffen Pischke (1999), Beyond Becker: Training in Imperfect Labour Markets, *Economic Journal* 109, F112-F142.
- Barron, John M., Mark C.Berger, and Dan A.Black (1998), "Do Workers Pay for On-the-Job Training?" *Journal of Human Resource* 34, 235-252.
- Bishop, John H.(1997), "What We Know about Employer-Provided Training: A Review of the Literature," in: Polacheck S. and J Robst (eds), *Research in Labor Economics*, Vol 16, 19-87.
- Bundesamt für Statistik (1997), Weiterbildung in der Schweiz, Berne, Switzerland.
- Gritz, Mark R. (1993), "The Impact of Training on the Frequency and Duration of Employment," *Journal of Econometrics* 57, 21-51.
- Hashimoto, Masanori (1981), Firm-Specific Human Capital as a Shared Investment, *American Economic Review* 71/3, 475-482.
- Krueger, Alan and Cecilia Rouse (1998), "The Effect of Workplace Education on Earnings, Turnover, and Job Performance," *Journal of Labor Economics* 16, 61-94.
- Lazear, Edward and Sherwin Rosen (1990), "Male-Female Wage Differentials in Job Ladders", *Journal of Labor Economics* 8, 106-123.
- Leuven, Edwin and Hessel Oosterbeek (1999), "Demand and Supply of Work-Related Training: Evidence from four Countries, in: Polacheck S. and J. Robst (eds), *Research in Labor Economics*, forthcoming.
- Lynch, Lisa M. (1991), "The Role of Off-the Job vs. On-the-Job Training for the Mobility of Young Women Workers," *American Economic Review* 81, 151-156.
- O'Connell, Philip J. (1999), Adults in Training: An International Comparison of Continuing Education and Training, mimeo, Center for Educational Research and Innovation, OECD, Paris.
- Parent, Daniel (1999), "Wages and Mobility: The Impact of Employer-Provided Training," Journal of Labor Economics 17, 298-317.
- Veum, Jonathan R. (1997), "Training and Job Mobility among Young Workers in the United States," *Journal of Population Economics* 10, 219-233.
- Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf and Josef Zweimüller (1997), "Unequal Assignment and Unequal Promotion in Job Ladders", *Journal of Labor Economics* 15, 43-71.

Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf and Josef Zweimüller (1999), "Firm-Size Wage Differentials in Switzerland: Evidence from Job-Changers," *American Economic Review (Papers and Proceedings)* 89, 89-93.

IZA Discussion Papers

No.	Author(s)	Title	Area	Date
41	J. M. Orszag D. J. Snower	Anatomy of Policy Complementarities	3/7	5/99
42	D. S. Hamermesh	The Changing Distribution of Job Satisfaction	7	5/99
43	C. Belzil J. Hansen	Household Characteristics, Ability and Education: Evidence from a Dynamic Expected Utility Model	7	5/99
44	D. N. F. Bell R. A. Hart	Overtime Working in an Unregulated Labour Market	1	6/99
45	R. A. Hart J. R. Malley	On the Cyclicality and Stability of Real Earnings	1	6/99
46	R. Rotte M. Vogler	The Effects of Development on Migration: Theoretical Issues and New Empirical Evidence	2	6/99
47	R. A. Hart F. Ritchie	Tenure-based Wage Setting	1/7	7/99
48	T. Bauer K. F. Zimmermann	Overtime Work and Overtime Compensation in Germany	1	7/99
49	H. P. Grüner	Unemployment and Labor-Market Reform: A Contract Theoretic Approach	1/3	7/99
50	K. F. Zimmermann	Ethnic German Migration After 1989 – Balance and Perspectives	1	8/99
51	A. Barrett P. J. O'Connell	Does Training Generally Work? The Returns to In-Company Training	7	8/99
52	J. Mayer R. T. Riphahn	Fertility Assimilation of Immigrants: Evidence from Count Data Models	3	8/99
53	J. Hartog P. T. Pereira J. A. C. Vieira	Inter-industry Wage Dispersion in Portugal: high but falling	7	8/99
54	M. Lofstrom	Labor Market Assimilation and the Self-Employment Decision of Immigrant Entrepreneurs	1	8/99
55	L. Goerke	Value-added Tax versus Social Security Contributions	3	8/99
56	A. Lindbeck D. J. Snower	Centralized Bargaining and Reorganized Work: Are they compatible?	1/5	9/99
57	I. N. Gang K. F. Zimmermann	Is Child like Parent? Educational Attainment and Ethnic Origin	1	9/99

58	T. Bauer K. F. Zimmermann	Occupational Mobility of Ethnic Migrants	1	9/99
59	D. J. DeVoretz S. A. Laryea	Canadian Immigration Experience: Any Lessons for Europe?	1/2/3	9/99
60	C. Belzil J. Hansen	Subjective Discount Rates, Intergenerational Transfers and the Return to Schooling	7	10/99
61	R. Winkelmann	Immigration: The New Zealand Experience	7	10/99
62	A. Thalmaier	Bestimmungsgründe von Fehlzeiten: Welche Rolle spielt die Arbeitslosigkeit?	3	10/99
63	M. Ward	Your Everyday, Average Academic	5	10/99
64	M. Ward	Salary and the Gender Salary Gap in the Academic Profession	5	10/99
65	H. Lehmann J. Wadsworth A. Acquisti	Grime and Punishment: Job Insecurity and Wage Arrears in the Russian Federation	4	10/99
66	E. J. Bird H. Kayser J. R. Frick G. G. Wagner	The Immigrant Welfare Effect: Take-Up or Eligibility?	3	10/99
67	R. T. Riphahn A. Thalmaier	Behavioral Effects of Probation Periods: An Analysis of Worker Absenteeism	1/3	10/99
68	B. Dietz	Ethnic German Immigration from Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union to Germany: the Effects of Migrant Networks	1	11/99
69	MS. Yun	Generalized Selection Bias and the Decomposition of Wage Differentials	7	11/99
70	I. N. Gang F.L. Rivera-Batiz	Immigrants and Unemployment in the European Community	1	11/99
71	L. Goerke	The Wedge	3	11/99
72	J. Fersterer R. Winter-Ebmer	Are Austrian Returns to Education Falling Over Time?	7	11/99
73	G. S. Epstein S. Nitzan	The Endogenous Determination of Minimum Wage	3	11/99
74	M. Kräkel	Strategic Mismatches in Competing Teams	7	12/99
75	B. Henry M. Karanassou D. J. Snower	Adjustment Dynamics and the Natural Rate: An Account of UK Unemployment	1	12/99
76	G. Brunello M. Giannini	Selective Schools	7	12/99
77	C. M. Schmidt	Knowing What Works: The Case for Rigorous Program Evaluation	6	12/99

78	J. Hansen R. Wahlberg	Endogenous Schooling and the Distribution of the Gender Wage Gap	7	12/99
79	J. S. Earle Z. Sakova	Entrepreneurship from Scratch: Lessons on the Entry Decision into Self-Employment from Transition Economies	4	12/99
80	J. C. van Ours J. Veenman	The Netherlands: Old Emigrants – Young Immigrant Country	1	12/99
81	T. J. Hatton S. Wheatley Price	Migration, Migrants and Policy in the United Kingdom	1	12/99
82	K. A. Konrad	Privacy, time consistent optimal labor income taxation and education policy	3	12/99
83	R. Euwals	Female Labour Supply, Flexibility of Working Hours, and Job Mobility in the Netherlands	1	12/99
84	C. M. Schmidt	The Heterogeneity and Cyclical Sensitivity of Unemployment: An Exploration of German Labor Market Flows	1	12/99
85	S. Pudney M. A. Shields	Gender and Racial Discrimination in Pay and Promotion for NHS Nurses	5/6	12/99
86	J.P. Haisken-DeNew C. M. Schmidt	Money for Nothing and Your Chips for Free? The Anatomy of the PC Wage Differential	5/7	12/99
87	T. K. Bauer	Educational Mismatch and Wages in Germany	7	12/99
88	O. Bover P. Velilla	Migration in Spain: Historical Background and Current Trends	1	12/99
89	S. Neuman	Aliyah to Israel: Immigration under Conditions of Adversity	1	12/99
90	H. Lehmann J. Wadsworth	Tenures that Shook the World: Worker Turnover in Russia, Poland and Britain	4	12/99
91	M. Lechner	Identification and Estimation of Causal Effects of Multiple Treatments Under the Conditional Independence Assumption	6	12/99
92	R. E. Wright	The Rate of Return to Private Schooling	7	12/99
93	M. Lechner	An Evaluation of Public-Sector-Sponsored Continuous Vocational Training Programs in East Germany	6	12/99
94	M. Eichler M. Lechner	An Evaluation of Public Employment Programmes in the East German State of Sachsen-Anhalt	6	12/99
95	P. Cahuc A. Zylberberg	Job Protection, Minimum Wage and Unemployment	3	12/99
96	P. Cahuc A. Zylberberg	Redundancy Payments, Incomplete Labor Contracts, Unemployment and Welfare	3	12/99

97	A. Barrett	Irish Migration: Characteristics, Causes and Consequences	1	12/99
98	J.P. Haisken-DeNew C. M. Schmidt	Industry Wage Differentials Revisited: A Longitudinal Comparison of Germany and USA	5/7	12/99
99	R. T. Riphahn	Residential Location and Youth Unemployment: The Economic Geography of School-to-Work- Transitions	1	12/99
100	J. Hansen M. Lofstrom	Immigrant Assimilation and Welfare Participation: Do Immigrants Assimilate Into or Out-of Welfare?	1/3/7	12/99
101	L. Husted H. S. Nielsen M. Rosholm N. Smith	Employment and Wage Assimilation of Male First Generation Immigrants in Denmark	3	1/00
102	B. van der Klaauw J. C. van Ours	Labor Supply and Matching Rates for Welfare Recipients: An Analysis Using Neighborhood Characteristics	2/3	1/00
103	K. Brännäs	Estimation in a Duration Model for Evaluating Educational Programs	6	1/00
104	S. Kohns	Different Skill Levels and Firing Costs in a Matching Model with Uncertainty – An Extension of Mortensen and Pissarides (1994)	1	1/00
105	G. Brunello C. Graziano B. Parigi	Ownership or Performance: What Determines Board of Directors' Turnover in Italy?	7	1/00
106	L. Bellmann S. Bender U. Hornsteiner	Job Tenure of Two Cohorts of Young German Men 1979 - 1990: An analysis of the (West-)German Employment Statistic Register Sample concerning multivariate failure times and unobserved heterogeneity	1	1/00
107	J. C. van Ours G. Ridder	Fast Track or Failure: A Study of the Completion Rates of Graduate Students in Economics	7	1/00
108	J. Boone J. C. van Ours	Modeling Financial Incentives to Get Unemployed Back to Work	3/6	1/00
109	G. J. van den Berg B. van der Klaauw	Combining Micro and Macro Unemployment Duration Data	7	1/00
110	D. DeVoretz C. Werner	A Theory of Social Forces and Immigrant Second Language Acquisition	1	2/00
111	V. Sorm K. Terrell	Sectoral Restructuring and Labor Mobility: A Comparative Look at the Czech Republic	1/4	2/00
112	L. Bellmann T. Schank	Innovations, Wages and Demand for Heterogeneous Labour: New Evidence from a Matched Employer-Employee Data-Set	5	2/00
113	R. Euwals	Do Mandatory Pensions Decrease Household Savings? Evidence for the Netherlands	7	2/00

114	G. Brunello A. Medio	An Explanation of International Differences in Education and Workplace Training	7	2/00
115	A. Cigno F. C. Rosati	Why do Indian Children Work, and is it Bad for Them?	7	2/00
116	C. Belzil	Unemployment Insurance and Subsequent Job Duration: Job Matching vs. Unobserved Heterogeneity	7	2/00
117	S. Bender A. Haas C. Klose	IAB Employment Subsample 1975-1995. Opportunities for Analysis Provided by the Anonymised Subsample	7	2/00
118	M. A. Shields M. E. Ward	Improving Nurse Retention in the British National Health Service: The Impact of Job Satisfaction on Intentions to Quit	5	2/00
119	A. Lindbeck D. J. Snower	The Division of Labor and the Market for Organizations	5	2/00
120	P. T. Pereira P. S. Martins	Does Education Reduce Wage Inequality? Quantile Regressions Evidence from Fifteen European Countries	5/7	2/00
121	J. C. van Ours	Do Active Labor Market Policies Help Unemployed Workers to Find and Keep Regular Jobs?	4/6	3/00
122	D. Munich J. Svejnar K. Terrell	Returns to Human Capital under the Communist Wage Grid and During the Transition to a Market Economy	4	3/00
123	J. Hunt	Why Do People Still Live in East Germany?	1	3/00
124	R. T. Riphahn	Rational Poverty or Poor Rationality? The Take-up of Social Assistance Benefits	3	3/00
125	F. Büchel J. R. Frick	The Income Portfolio of Immigrants in Germany - Effects of Ethnic Origin and Assimilation. Or: Who Gains from Income Re-Distribution?	1/3	3/00
126	J. Fersterer R. Winter-Ebmer	Smoking, Discount Rates, and Returns to Education	6/7	3/00
127	M. Karanassou D. J. Snower	Characteristics of Unemployment Dynamics: The Chain Reaction Approach	7	3/00
128	O. Ashenfelter D. Ahmore O. Deschênes	Do Unemployment Insurance Recipients Actively Seek Work? Evidence From Randomized Trials in Four U.S. States	6	3/00
129	B. R. Chiswick M. E. Hurst	The Employment, Unemployment and Unemployment Compensation Benefits of Immigrants	1/3	3/00
130	G. Brunello S. Comi C. Lucifora	The Returns to Education in Italy: A New Look at the Evidence	5/7	3/00
131	B. R. Chiswick	Are Immigrants Favorably Self-Selected? An Economic Analysis	1	3/00

132	R. A. Hart	Hours and Wages in the Depression: British Engineering, 1926-1938	7	3/00
133	D. N. F. Bell R. A. Hart O. Hübler W. Schwerdt	Paid and Unpaid Overtime Working in Germany and the UK	1	3/00
134	A. D. Kugler G. Saint-Paul	Hiring and Firing Costs, Adverse Selection and Long-term Unemployment	3/7	3/00
135	A. Barrett P. J. O'Connell	Is There a Wage Premium for Returning Irish Migrants?	1	3/00
136	M. Bräuninger M. Pannenberg	Unemployment and Productivity Growth: An Empirical Analysis within the Augmented Solow Model	7	3/00
137	JSt. Pischke	Continuous Training in Germany	7	3/00
138	J. Zweimüller R. Winter-Ebmer	Firm-specific Training: Consequences for Job Mobility	1	3/00